



**Fraunhofer** Institut  
Techno- und  
Wirtschaftsmathematik

J. Orlik

Homogenization in elasto-plasticity

© Fraunhofer-Institut für Techno- und Wirtschaftsmathematik ITWM 2008

ISSN 1434-9973

Bericht 135 (2008)

Alle Rechte vorbehalten. Ohne ausdrückliche schriftliche Genehmigung des Herausgebers ist es nicht gestattet, das Buch oder Teile daraus in irgendeiner Form durch Fotokopie, Mikrofilm oder andere Verfahren zu reproduzieren oder in eine für Maschinen, insbesondere Datenverarbeitungsanlagen, verwendbare Sprache zu übertragen. Dasselbe gilt für das Recht der öffentlichen Wiedergabe.

Warennamen werden ohne Gewährleistung der freien Verwendbarkeit benutzt.

Die Veröffentlichungen in der Berichtsreihe des Fraunhofer ITWM können bezogen werden über:

Fraunhofer-Institut für Techno- und  
Wirtschaftsmathematik ITWM  
Fraunhofer-Platz 1

67663 Kaiserslautern  
Germany

Telefon: +49(0)631/3 1600-0  
Telefax: +49(0)631/3 1600-1099  
E-Mail: [info@itwm.fraunhofer.de](mailto:info@itwm.fraunhofer.de)  
Internet: [www.itwm.fraunhofer.de](http://www.itwm.fraunhofer.de)

# Vorwort

Das Tätigkeitsfeld des Fraunhofer-Instituts für Techno- und Wirtschaftsmathematik ITWM umfasst anwendungsnahe Grundlagenforschung, angewandte Forschung sowie Beratung und kundenspezifische Lösungen auf allen Gebieten, die für Techno- und Wirtschaftsmathematik bedeutsam sind.

In der Reihe »Berichte des Fraunhofer ITWM« soll die Arbeit des Instituts kontinuierlich einer interessierten Öffentlichkeit in Industrie, Wirtschaft und Wissenschaft vorgestellt werden. Durch die enge Verzahnung mit dem Fachbereich Mathematik der Universität Kaiserslautern sowie durch zahlreiche Kooperationen mit internationalen Institutionen und Hochschulen in den Bereichen Ausbildung und Forschung ist ein großes Potenzial für Forschungsberichte vorhanden. In die Berichtreihe sollen sowohl hervorragende Diplom- und Projektarbeiten und Dissertationen als auch Forschungsberichte der Institutsmitarbeiter und Institutsgäste zu aktuellen Fragen der Techno- und Wirtschaftsmathematik aufgenommen werden.

Darüber hinaus bietet die Reihe ein Forum für die Berichterstattung über die zahlreichen Kooperationsprojekte des Instituts mit Partnern aus Industrie und Wirtschaft.

Berichterstattung heißt hier Dokumentation des Transfers aktueller Ergebnisse aus mathematischer Forschungs- und Entwicklungsarbeit in industrielle Anwendungen und Softwareprodukte – und umgekehrt, denn Probleme der Praxis generieren neue interessante mathematische Fragestellungen.



Prof. Dr. Dieter Prätzel-Wolters  
Institutsleiter

Kaiserslautern, im Juni 2001





**Fraunhofer** Institut  
Techno- und  
Wirtschaftsmathematik

# HOMOGENIZATION IN ELASTO-PLASTICITY

Technical Report

J. Orlik  
Fraunhofer Platz 1  
D-67663 Kaiserslautern, Germany  
email: [orlik@itwm.fhg.de](mailto:orlik@itwm.fhg.de)

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Elements of homogenization for nonlinear elliptic PDE's. Existence, and convergence</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Elements of yield analysis</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Homogenization of yield conditions</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Statement of the problem</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Homogenization of elastic properties <math>E(\xi)</math> and <math>\nu(\xi)</math></b>	<b>8</b>
6.1	Statement of the elastic problem with a periodic structure . . . . .	9
6.2	Formal asymptotical expansion . . . . .	9
6.3	Equations on a cell and averaged equation . . . . .	10
6.4	Algorithm . . . . .	12
6.5	Periodicity conditions for the cell problem . . . . .	12
6.6	Symmetric case . . . . .	14
<b>7</b>	<b>Homogenized flow Condition for Ludwik's law</b>	<b>17</b>
7.1	Homogenization of $S(\xi)$ . . . . .	17
7.1.1	Lower and upper bounds for the homogenized initial flow stress . . . . .	17
7.1.2	Homogenized initial flow stress . . . . .	18
7.1.3	Effective initial plastic stress obtained by self-consistent method . . . . .	19
7.2	Homogenization of $K(\xi)$ . . . . .	19
7.2.1	1-D Homogenization based on rheological models and self-consistency . . . . .	19
7.2.2	3-D Homogenization for $K(\xi)$ . . . . .	20
<b>8</b>	<b>Homogenized flow Condition for Hockett-Sherby's law</b>	<b>22</b>

CONTENTS

<b>9 Calculation of equivalent parameters for a specific composite material</b>	<b>23</b>
<b>10 Mechanical averaging</b>	<b>25</b>
10.1 Averaging of elastic properties . . . . .	25
10.2 Effective parameters for plastic Ludwik and Hocket-Sherby laws obtained by self-consistent methods . . . . .	27
<b>11 Appendix: EFFECTIVE PROPERTIES OF NONLINEAR COMPOSITES of P. Suquet</b>	<b>31</b>
<b>References</b>	<b>38</b>

### Abstract

The theory of the two-scale convergence was applied to homogenization of elasto-plastic composites with a periodic structure and exponential hardening law. The theory is based on the fact that the elastic as well as the plastic part of the stress field two-scale converges to a limit, which is factorized by parts, depending only on macroscopic characteristics, represented in terms of corresponding part of the homogenised stress tensor and only on stress concentration tensor, related to the micro-geometry and elastic or plastic micro-properties of composite components. The theory was applied to metallic matrix material with Ludwik and Hockett-Sherby hardening law and pure elastic inclusions in two numerical examples. Results were compared with results of mechanical averaging based on the self-consistent methods.

**Keywords:** Multiscale structures, Asymptotic homogenization, Nonlinear energy

## 1 Introduction

Since the 70-s, the homogenization technique was properly used for obtaining effective elastic properties of composites, and the homogenized macro-stress-fields. Although, the homogenized stress-field loses all local effects, e.g. local stress concentrations, which can reason the fracture or plastic deformations occurrence. In the 80-s, the first approximation to the micro-stress-field was derived on the pure formal way, from the properties of the components, micro-geometry of the composite and the applied macro-loads. Convergence of the micro-stresses, as the structure period tends to zero, was proved by the two-scale homogenization by Allaire in 1992. The present work is based on the fact that for elastic and some nonlinear solids, for which stress tensor is a homogeneous function of the deformation gradient, this limit is exactly the first term of the micro-stress-field approximation, which is represented by a product of the homogenized stress-tensor, depending only on macro-geometry and boundary conditions, and the so-called stress-concentration tensor, related only to the micro-geometry and mechanical parameters of composite-components.


The paper provides the complete theoretical back-ground and a detailed formal algorithm for a class of elasto-plastic composites with a periodic micro-structure. Sec. 2 provides an auxiliary tool for the main result, Sections 7, 8, of this manuscript. Here the well-known convergence results for a class of non-linear elliptic PDE's are recalled. In the next two Sections, 3 and 4, we repeat elements of strength analysis and homogenization of strength from [Orlik, 2005]. We found in this work, that these theory can be one-to-one transferred and applied to the yield analysis and homogenization of the yield limit, which is the subject of this work. This finding is new and can, together with examples of special elasto-plastic models from Sections 7, 8, be a subject of a journal paper in an appropriate journal later on. Algorithm for homogenization of elastic properties prescribed in Sec. 6 is rather standard. Nevertheless we recalled it in the preprint to once have a complete detailed homogenization algorithm for elasto-plastic composites.

The theory of the two-scale convergence was applied in Sections 7, 8 to homogenization of elasto-plastic metallic composites with Ludwik or Hockett-Sherby hardening matrix material and pure elastic inclusions, creating the periodic structure. Such materials were the subject of an industrial project from the automobile industry. A numerical example with randomly situated ball-shaped inclusions with normally distributed radii and volume fraction of inclusions  $c = 10\%$  was considered in Sec. 9. The asymptotic homogenization was performed with the help of the commercial finite element software ABAQUS and python-scripting. Results of the asymptotic homogenization were compared with results of mechanical averaging based on the self-consistent methods, recalled in Sec. 10, and are given in tables below.



## 2 Elements of homogenization for nonlinear elliptic PDE's. Existence, and convergence

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a bounded domain with a periodic structure with a period  $eY$ . Consider the following problem



$$-\mathbf{div} \sigma^\epsilon(x) = f(x), \quad \sigma^\epsilon(x) = g\left(\frac{x}{\epsilon}, e^\epsilon(x)\right), \quad x \in \Omega \quad (1)$$

with some appropriate boundary conditions. Furthermore, let  $e(x) : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$ ,  $g(e) : \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$  be an arbitrary non-linear function.

**Example 1** In non-linear elasticity  $\sigma = g(e) = \frac{dW(e)}{de}$ , where  $W$  is the energy function.

**Assumption 2** (i)  $e \rightarrow g(\xi, e)$  is continuous  $\forall \xi$ ;

(ii)  $\xi \rightarrow g(\xi, e)$  is measurable and  $Y$ -periodic  $\forall e$ ;

(iii)  $0 \leq c|e|^k \leq g(\xi, e) \cdot e$  with  $0 < c$  and  $k > 1$ ,  $\forall \xi$ ;

(iv)  $|g(\xi, e)| \leq C[1 + |e|^{k-1}]$ , for  $0 < C$ ,  $\forall \xi$ ;

(v)  $g(\xi, e)$  is strictly monotone in  $e$ , i.e.,  $\forall \xi$ ,  
 $[g(\xi, e_1) - g(\xi, e_2)] \cdot (e_1 - e_2) > 0 \quad \forall e_1 \neq e_2$ .

According to [1], if Assumptions 2 on the function  $g$  are satisfied, then, the Dirichlet problem

$$-\mathbf{div} (g(x, \nabla u(x))) = f(x),$$

has a unique solution  $u \in H^1(\Omega, \partial\Omega_u)$ ,  $g(x, \nabla u(x)) \in L^2(\Omega)$ .

Furthermore, the application of the two-scale homogenization technique allows under certain conditions for the complete separation of problems on the periodicity cell and the homogenized problem in the macro-domain. Therefore, the following assumption should be additionally required:

**Assumption 3** (vi)  $g(\xi, e)$  is homogeneous of the order  $k - 1$  w.r.t.  $e$ , i.e.,

$$g(\xi, \lambda e) = \lambda^{k-1} g(\xi, e), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}.$$

The following Theorem can be found as Th.3.5 in [1].

**Theorem 4** For any  $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ , the system

$$-\mathbf{div} \ g \left( \frac{x}{\varepsilon}, \nabla u^\varepsilon \right) = f(x), \quad x \in \Omega$$

with  $g$  satisfying Assumptions 2, 3, converges to

$$-\mathbf{div} \int_Y g(\xi, \nabla_\xi(\xi_p I + N_p(\xi)) \nabla_x u^{(0)}(x)) d\xi = f(x),$$

where  $N_p(\xi)$  has to be determined from the auxiliary periodic problem:

$$-\mathbf{div}_\xi \ g \left( \xi, \nabla_\xi(\xi_p I + N_p(\xi)) \right) = 0, \quad \xi \in Y.$$

### 3 Elements of yield analysis

For a bounded stress field  $\sigma_{ij}(y)$ , any local yield condition for micro-stresses at a point  $y \in \Omega$  can be written in the form  $\Lambda(\sigma(y), y) < 1$ , where  $\Lambda \in C(\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, \mathcal{M}(\Omega))$  is a normalised equivalent stress function, a material characteristic, which is non-negative and positively homogeneous of the order  $+1$  w.r.t.  $\sigma$ . Here  $\mathcal{M}(\Omega)$  is the space of all bounded functions on  $\Omega$ .

**Example 5** For most materials  $\Lambda$  can be associated with the von Mises equivalent stress

$$\Lambda_M(\sigma(y), y) = \{[(\sigma_1(y) - \sigma_2(y))^2 + (\sigma_2(y) - \sigma_3(y))^2 + (\sigma_3(y) - \sigma_1(y))^2]\}^{1/2} / (\sqrt{2}\sigma_r(y)), \quad (2)$$

where  $\sigma_r$  is a known uniaxial yield limit of the material.

Usually plastification of body, i.e. occurring of plastic deformations starts in one of its points, so that the (initial) local yield condition for the whole body is then

$$\sup_{y \in \Omega} \Lambda(\sigma(y), y) < 1. \quad (3)$$

Such a local yield condition, however, is generally not applicable to unbounded stress fields since the conditions will predict plastic zones under virtually any singular stress field.

For more general, especially singular stress fields, e.g. belonging to  $L_2(\Omega)$ , a (point) non-local yield condition  $\Lambda^\odot(\sigma; y) < 1$  can be applied. Here  $\Lambda^\odot(\sigma; y)$  is a normalised equivalent stress functional, which is defined on the tensor-functions  $\sigma_{ij} \in L_2(\Omega)$  and is non-negative positively homogeneous w.r.t.  $\sigma$ .

Particularly  $\Lambda^\odot$  can be related to a weighted averaging of  $\sigma_{ij}(x)$ ,  $x \in \Omega$  in some neighborhood of the point  $y$ ,

$$\Lambda^\odot(\sigma; y) = \Lambda(\sigma^\odot(y), y), \quad \sigma_{ij}^\odot(y) = \int_\Omega w_{ijkl}(y, x) \sigma_{kl}(x) dx, \quad (4)$$

where  $\sigma_{ij}^\odot \in C(\bar{\Omega})$  is an auxiliary non-local stress tensor, and the weight  $w \in C(\bar{\Omega}, L^2(\Omega))$  is a material characteristics, such as  $\int_\Omega w_{ijkl}(y, x) dx = \delta_{ij} \delta_{kl}$ . Then the non-local yield condition for the whole body is

$$\sup_{y \in \Omega} \Lambda(\sigma^\odot, y) < 1 \quad (5)$$

**Example 6** (i) If  $w_{ijkl}(y, x) = \delta_{ij}\delta_{kl} \begin{cases} \frac{3}{4\pi d^3}, & |x - y| < d \\ 0, & |x - y| \geq d \end{cases}$ , where  $d$  is a material constant, then  $\sigma_{ij}^\circ(y) = \frac{3}{4\pi d^3} \int_{|x-y|<d} \sigma_{ij}(x) dx$ .  
(ii) If  $w_{ijkl}(y, x) = \delta(x - y)\delta_{ij}\delta_{kl}$ , where  $\delta(x - y)$  is the Dirac function, then  $\sigma_{ij}^\circ(y) = \sigma_{ij}(y)$ , and the non-local yield-condition coincides with the local one.

## 4 Homogenization of yield conditions

This subsection can be found in more details in [Orlik, 2005]. The theory was developed for the strength condition, but can be generalized without any restrictions to the yield condition. In a periodic medium, all physical fields becomes dependent on the scaling parameter  $\epsilon$ . Especially, yield condition (3) becomes

$$\sup_{y \in \Omega} \Lambda^\epsilon(\sigma^\epsilon, y) < 1. \quad (6)$$

Suppose,

$$\Lambda^\epsilon(\sigma^\epsilon, y) = \Lambda(\sigma^\epsilon, \frac{y}{\epsilon}). \quad (7)$$

Our aim is to derive a macro-yield condition like (5), where the homogenized yield function  $\hat{\Lambda}$  is a function of the homogenized stress  $\hat{\sigma}_{ih}(x)$  and the composite micro-characteristics only. Let  $|\sigma|$  denotes a mytrix norm for a tensor  $\sigma_{ij}$ .

**Proposition 7 (homogenization of local normalised equivalent yield function)** Let a tensor function sequence  $\sigma^\epsilon(y) \in C(\bar{\Omega})$  converge to a tensor function  $\sigma^0(y, \zeta) \in C(\bar{\Omega}, C_{per}(Y))$  uniformly w.r.t.  $y$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ , i.e.,

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup_{y \in \Omega} |\sigma^\epsilon(y) - \sigma^0(y, \frac{y}{\epsilon})| = 0 \quad (8)$$

and  $\Lambda \in C(\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, \mathcal{M}_{per}(Y))$ . Then,

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup_{y \in \Omega} \left| \Lambda\left(\sigma^\epsilon(y), \frac{y}{\epsilon}\right) - \Lambda\left(\sigma^0(y, \frac{y}{\epsilon}), \frac{y}{\epsilon}\right) \right| = 0 \quad (9)$$

if

$$\sigma^0(x\zeta) = B_{ijkl}(\zeta)\hat{\sigma}_{kl}(y, \zeta), \quad (10)$$

then

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup_{y \in \Omega} \Lambda\left(\sigma^\epsilon(y), \frac{y}{\epsilon}\right) \leq \sup_{y \in \Omega} \hat{\Lambda}(\hat{\sigma}(y)), \quad \hat{\Lambda}(\hat{\sigma}(y)) := \sup_{\zeta \in Y} \Lambda(B_{ijkl}(\zeta)\hat{\sigma}_{kl}(y), \zeta).$$

and the limit sufficient local macro-yield condition is

$$\sup_{y \in \Omega} \hat{\Lambda}(\hat{\sigma}(y)) < 1.$$

Let us consider for a periodic medium the limits of non-local micro-yield conditions  $\sup_{y \in \Omega} \Lambda^{\circ\epsilon}(\sigma^\epsilon; y) < 1$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Representation (4) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda^{\circ\epsilon}(\sigma^\epsilon; y) &= \Lambda^\epsilon(\sigma^{\circ\epsilon}(y), y) \\ \sigma_{ij}^{\circ\epsilon}(y) &= \int_{\Omega} w_{ijkl}^\epsilon(y, x)\sigma_{kl}^\epsilon(x) dx, \quad \forall y \in \Omega. \end{aligned}$$

## Statement of the problem

Suppose the function  $\Lambda^\epsilon$  has form (7). Let further  $w_{ijkl}^\epsilon(y, x) = w_{ijkl}(y, \frac{y}{\epsilon}, x, \frac{x}{\epsilon})$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda^\epsilon(\sigma^{\odot\epsilon}, y) &= \Lambda(\sigma^{\odot\epsilon}(y), \frac{y}{\epsilon}), \\ \sigma_{ij}^{\odot\epsilon}(y) &= \int_{\Omega} w_{ijkl}(y, \frac{y}{\epsilon}, x, \frac{x}{\epsilon}) \sigma_{kl}^\epsilon(x) dx.\end{aligned}\quad (11)$$

**Lemma 8** Let  $\sigma^\epsilon \in L^2(\Omega)$  be a sequence of tensor functions  $\sigma^\epsilon(x)$  two-scale converging to a tensor  $\sigma^0 \in L^2(\Omega \times Y)$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Let  $w \in C(\bar{\Omega}, C_{per}(Y, L^2(\Omega, C_{per}(Y))))$ . Then the sequence

$$\tilde{\sigma}^{\odot\epsilon}(y, \zeta) := \int_{\Omega} w(y, \zeta, x, \frac{x}{\epsilon}) \sigma^\epsilon(x) dx, \quad (12)$$

is bounded in  $C(\bar{\Omega}, C_{per}(Y))$  and strongly converges in this space to

$$\sigma^{\odot 0}(y, \zeta) = \frac{1}{|Y|} \int_{\Omega} \int_Y w(y, \zeta, x, \xi) \sigma^0(x, \xi) d\xi dx. \quad (13)$$

**Proposition 9** Let  $\sigma^\epsilon \in L^2(\Omega)$  be a sequence of tensor functions  $\sigma^\epsilon(x)$  two-scale converging to a tensor  $\sigma^0 \in L^2(\Omega \times Y)$ . Suppose,  $w \in C(\bar{\Omega}, C_{per}(Y, L^2(\Omega, C_{per}(Y))))$ . Then, the sequence  $\sigma^{\odot\epsilon}(y)$  given by (11) is bounded in  $C(\bar{\Omega})$  and converges to the tensor function  $\sigma^{\odot 0}(y, \frac{y}{\epsilon})$ , given by (13), uniformly w.r.t.  $y$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ , i.e.,

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup_{y \in \bar{\Omega}} \left| \sigma^{\odot\epsilon}(y) - \sigma^{\odot 0}(y, \frac{y}{\epsilon}) \right| = 0.$$

Applying Proposition 7 to the non-local stresses (13) and taking into account their convergency proved in Proposition 9, we arrive at the following proposition on homogenization of non-local strength conditions.

**Proposition 10** Let  $\sigma^\epsilon \in L^2(\Omega)$  be a sequence of tensor functions two-scale converging to a tensor  $\sigma^0 \in L^2(\Omega \times Y)$ . Suppose the non-local stress  $\sigma^{\odot\epsilon}(y)$  is given by (11) with the weight  $w \in C(\bar{\Omega}, C_{per}(Y, L^2(\Omega, C_{per}(Y))))$ . Suppose  $\Lambda \in C(\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, \mathcal{M}_{per}(Y))$ . Then

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup_{y \in \bar{\Omega}} \left| \Lambda \left( \sigma^{\odot\epsilon}(y), \frac{y}{\epsilon} \right) - \Lambda \left( \sigma^{\odot 0}(y, \frac{y}{\epsilon}), \frac{y}{\epsilon} \right) \right| = 0,$$

where  $\sigma^{\odot 0}(y, \zeta)$  is given by (13). If  $\sigma^0$  is expressed by (10), then

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup_{y \in \bar{\Omega}} \Lambda \left( \sigma^{\odot\epsilon}(y), \frac{y}{\epsilon} \right) &\leq \sup_{y \in \bar{\Omega}} \hat{\Lambda}^\odot(\hat{\sigma}; y), \\ \text{where } \hat{\Lambda}^\odot(\hat{\sigma}; y) &:= \sup_{\zeta \in Y} \Lambda \left( \int_{\Omega} \hat{w}_{ijkl}(y, \zeta, x) \hat{\sigma}_{kl}(x) dx, \zeta \right), \\ \hat{w}_{ijkl}(y, \zeta, x) &= \frac{1}{|Y|} \int_Y w_{ijpq}(y, \zeta, x, \xi) A_{pqkl}(\xi) d\xi.\end{aligned}\quad (14)$$

Finally the limit sufficient non-local macro-yield condition is  $\sup_{y \in \bar{\Omega}} \hat{\Lambda}^\odot(\hat{\sigma}; y) < 1$ .

## 5 Statement of the problem

We consider elasto-plastic metallic composites with pure elastic inclusions, creating the periodic structure. It is assumed in elasto-plasticity that the rate of the deformation tensor can be additively decomposed into an

elastic and plastic part:

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{e} &= \dot{e}_{el} + \dot{e}_{pl} \\ \boldsymbol{\sigma} &= \mathbb{L}_0^{(2)} : e_{el},\end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathbb{L}_0^{(2)}$  is the fourth order elasticity tensor.  
For isotropic materials a yield surface can be expressed as

$$F(\boldsymbol{\sigma}, \bar{e}_{pl}) = \frac{3}{2} \mathbf{s} : \mathbf{s} - \sigma_y^2(\bar{e}_{pl}) = 0,$$

where the equivalent von Mises stress is defined as

$$\sigma_{eq} = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2} \mathbf{s} : \mathbf{s}},$$

the equivalent plastic strain is defined as

$$\bar{e}_{pl} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3} e_{pl} : e_{pl}}$$

and the uni-axial yield stress  $\sigma_y$  is supposed in this report to be taken in the form of Ludwik or Hockett-Sherby hardening:

$$\sigma_y^\varepsilon = \begin{cases} \sigma_{pl}(x, \xi) = S_0(\xi) + K(\xi) \bar{e}_{pl}^n(x, \xi) & \text{Ludwik 1D} \\ \sigma_{pl}(x, \xi) = b(\xi) - (b(\xi) - a(\xi)) \exp(-m(\xi) \cdot (\bar{e}_{pl})^n(x, \xi)) & \text{Sherby 1D} \end{cases},$$

where  $\xi = \frac{x}{\varepsilon}$ .

According to the postulate of Drucker, which is a valid approximation for metals, the yield surface is convex and the plastic rate of the deformation tensor is orthogonal to the yield surface (flow rule)

$$\dot{\bar{e}}_{pl}^\varepsilon = \dot{\lambda} \frac{\partial F^\varepsilon}{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}^\varepsilon} = 2 \dot{\lambda} \sigma_y^\varepsilon, \quad \dot{\lambda} \geq 0,$$

where the plastic consistency parameter  $\dot{\lambda}$  is positive for plastic deformations and set to zero for elastic deformation.

Keeping in mind that


$$\dot{\bar{e}}_{pl}^\varepsilon = \frac{\Delta \bar{e}_{pl}^\varepsilon}{\Delta t},$$

we can obtain the scalar equation for the von Mises stress-strain in the form:

$$\Delta \bar{e}_{pl}^\varepsilon = \Delta t \cdot 2 \dot{\lambda} \sigma_y^\varepsilon(\bar{e}_{pl}^\varepsilon).$$

## 6 Homogenization of elastic properties $E(\xi)$ and $\nu(\xi)$

In this Section we refer to the well known homogenization technique for pure elasticity given, e.g., in PhD Orlik, 2000, but recall this in all algorithmic details.



## 6.1 Statement of the elastic problem with a periodic structure

Assume that a solid  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  has  $\epsilon Y$ -periodic structure, where  $\epsilon$  is a small scaling parameter. We recall the governing equations for the elasto-plastic model. The equilibrium equation in the variational formulation has the form:

$$\int_V \sigma_{ji}^\epsilon \delta \frac{\partial v_i^\epsilon}{\partial x_j} dV = \int_{S_t} \phi_i \delta v_i dS, \quad (15)$$

where  $\sigma_{ij}^\epsilon$  are components of the Cauchy stress tensor,  $\phi_i$  is the traction on the surface. The constitutive law for this model can be summarized as the following:

$$\sigma_{ij}^\epsilon(x) = L_{ijkl}^\epsilon(x) e_{kl}^\epsilon(x), \quad (16)$$

$$e_{kl}^\epsilon(x) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial u_k^\epsilon}{\partial x_l} + \frac{\partial u_l^\epsilon}{\partial x_k} \right), \quad (17)$$

$$L_{ijkl}^\epsilon(x) := L_{ijkl} \left( x, \frac{x}{\epsilon} \right). \quad (18)$$

Here  $L_{ijkl}$  are components of the elastic stiffness tensor,  $e_{kl}^\epsilon$  are components of the elastic strain tensor,  $u_k^\epsilon$  are components of the displacement vector. The small parameter  $\epsilon$  denotes the period of the structure and as a subscript denotes the relation of all physical values on the micro-structure.

## 6.2 Formal asymptotical expansion

We study the asymptotic behaviour of the displacement field  $u(x)$  with respect to a small fixed ratio  $\epsilon$ . According to [2] we are looking for asymptotics of the solution to (15) – (18) of the form

$$u_j^\epsilon(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \epsilon^m v_j^{(m)} \left( x, \frac{x}{\epsilon} \right), \quad j = 1 \dots 3, \quad (19)$$

where  $v_j^{(m)}(x, \xi)$  are functions periodic with respect to  $\xi$ . After the substitution of (19) into the equilibrium equations and after using these equations for the terms of orders  $\epsilon^{-2}$ ,  $\epsilon^{-1}$ ,  $\epsilon^0$  separately, we get the following conditions

$$\mathcal{F}_{i\xi\xi} v^{(0)} = 0, \quad (20)$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{i\xi\xi} v^{(1)} = -\mathcal{F}_{i\xi x} v^{(0)} - \mathcal{F}_{ix\xi} v^{(0)}, \quad (21)$$

Homogenization of elastic properties  $E(\xi)$  and  $\nu(\xi)$

$$\mathcal{F}_{i\xi\xi}v^{(2)} = -\mathcal{F}_{i\xi x}v^{(1)} - \mathcal{F}_{ix\xi}v^{(1)} - \mathcal{F}_{ixx}v_i^{(0)}, \quad (22)$$

where we use the notations

$$\mathcal{F}_{i\alpha\beta}w(x, \xi) := \frac{\partial}{\partial\alpha_j} \left( L_{ijkl}(x, \xi) \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial w_k}{\partial\beta_l} + \frac{\partial w_l}{\partial\beta_k} \right) \right), \quad (23)$$

Here  $x$  and  $\xi$  are regarded as independent variables;  $\alpha, \beta$  are  $x$  or  $\xi$ . Consequently, we have the following boundary conditions

$$v^{(0)}|_{\partial\Omega_u} = 0, \quad v^{(1)}|_{\partial\Omega_u} = 0, \quad (24)$$

$$\left[ L_{ijkl}(x, \xi) \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial v_k^{(1)}(x, \xi)}{\partial\xi_l} + \frac{\partial v_l^{(1)}(x, \xi)}{\partial\xi_k} + \frac{\partial v_k^{(0)}(x)}{\partial x_l} + \frac{\partial v_l^{(0)}(x)}{\partial x_k} \right) \right] n_j(x)|_{\partial\Omega_\sigma} = \phi_i(x). \quad (25)$$

### 6.3 Equations on a cell and averaged equation

Equation (20) implies

$$v_j^{(0)}(x, \xi) := v_j^{(0)}(x). \quad (26)$$

where  $v_j^{(0)}(x)$  are arbitrary functions independent of  $\xi$ .

Now, we can split solution of equation (21) as following (see e.g.,[2])

$$v_j^{(1)}(x, \xi) := N_{jp}^q(\xi) \frac{\partial v_p^{(0)}(x)}{\partial x_q}, \quad (27)$$

where the unknown periodic functions  $N_{jp}^q(\xi)$  must be such that the first term on right hand side of (27) satisfies equation (21) with the part of its original right-hand side, depending on  $v^{(0)}$ . Such substitution reduces the initial problem to the cell problems for the periodic functions  $N_{jp}^q(\xi)$ :

$$\mathcal{F}_{i\xi\xi} \left( N_{jp}^q(\xi) + \xi_q \delta_{jp} \right) = 0, \quad (28)$$

i.e.,

$$\int_Y \left[ L_{ihjk}(x, \xi) \left( \frac{\partial (N_{jp}^q(\xi) + \xi_q \delta_{jp})}{\partial\xi_k} + \frac{\partial (N_{kp}^q(\xi) + \xi_q \delta_{kp})}{\partial\xi_j} \right) \right] \frac{\partial w_i(\xi)}{\partial\xi_h} d\xi = 0.$$

The solvability condition for equation (22) for  $v^{(2)}$ , gives the averaged equation:

$$\frac{1}{|Y|} \int_Y \left( \mathcal{F}_{ix\xi}v^{(1)} + \mathcal{F}_{ixx}v^{(0)} \right) d\xi = 0. \quad (29)$$

If we multiply this equation by some test function  $w \in \mathbf{H}^1(\Omega, \partial\Omega_u)$ , and perform the partial integration by taking in consideration boundary condition (25), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{|Y|} \int_\Omega \frac{\partial w_i(x)}{\partial x_h} dx \int_Y \left[ L_{ijkl}(x, \xi) \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial v_k^{(1)}(x, \xi)}{\partial\xi_l} + \frac{\partial v_l^{(1)}(x, \xi)}{\partial\xi_k} + \frac{\partial v_k^{(0)}(x)}{\partial x_l} + \frac{\partial v_l^{(0)}(x)}{\partial x_k} \right) \right] d\xi \\ & = \int_{\partial\Omega_\sigma} \phi_i(x) w_i(x) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Homogenization of elastic properties  $E(\xi)$  and  $\nu(\xi)$

By taking into consideration the substitution (27), we can formally interpret (30) as the following averaged equation for  $v_j^{(0)}(x)$ :

$$\boxed{\int_{\Omega} \left[ L_{ijkl}^H(x, \xi) e_{kl}^{(0)} \right] \frac{\partial w_i(x)}{\partial x_j} dx = \int_{\partial\Omega_{\sigma}} \phi_i(x) w_i(x) ds,} \quad (31)$$

where the averaged coefficients and plastic stresses are given by the following expressions:

$$L_{ihpq}^H(x) := \frac{1}{2|Y|} \int_Y L_{ijhk}(x, \xi) \left( \frac{\partial (N_{jp}^q(\xi) + \xi_q \delta_{jp})}{\partial \xi_k} + \frac{\partial (N_{kp}^q(\xi) + \xi_q \delta_{kp})}{\partial \xi_j} \right) d\xi, \quad (32)$$



## 6.4 Algorithm

The averaging problem can be numerically solved in the following way.

1. Solve equation (87) on a cell in the class of periodic matrix-valued functions with periodicity conditions on the cell boundary by the finite element method (FEM).
2. Calculate the effective coefficients and averaged plastic stress by equations (89) - (91).
3. Solve by the FEM averaged equation (31) in  $\Omega$ .
4. Calculate the first approximation to the micro-stresses as the following:

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{ih}^\epsilon(x) &\approx \sigma_{ih}^{(0)}\left(x, \frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) + O(\epsilon), \\ \sigma_{ih}^{(0)}(x) &= L_{ihjk}\left(x, \frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) \frac{1}{2} \left[ \left( \frac{\partial \left( N_{jp}^q(\xi) + \xi_q \delta_{jp} \right)}{\partial \xi_k} + \frac{\partial \left( N_{kp}^q(\xi) + \xi_q \delta_{kp} \right)}{\partial \xi_j} \right) \Big|_{\xi = \frac{x}{\epsilon}} \frac{\partial v_p^{(0)}(x)}{\partial x_q} \right].\end{aligned}\quad (33)$$

## 6.5 Periodicity conditions for the cell problem

For solving equation (87) we fix  $q$ . Then, we have

$$\mathbf{N}^q(\xi) = \begin{pmatrix} N_{11q} & N_{12q} & N_{13q} \\ N_{21q} & N_{22q} & N_{23q} \\ N_{31q} & N_{32q} & N_{33q} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathbf{N}^q(\xi) + \xi_q \mathbf{I}) &:= (N_{jpk}(\xi) + \delta_{jp} \xi_q)_{j,p=(1,\dots,3)} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} N_{11q} + \xi_q & N_{12q} & N_{13q} \\ N_{21q} & N_{22q} + \xi_q & N_{23q} \\ N_{31q} & N_{32q} & N_{33q} + \xi_q \end{pmatrix} =: \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Y}_q^1 \\ \mathbf{Y}_q^2 \\ \mathbf{Y}_q^3 \end{pmatrix}.\end{aligned}\quad (34)$$

Then, for each  $q = 1, 2, 3$ , three boundary value problems of elasticity have to be solved:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_{\xi\xi}(\mathbf{Y}^1) &= 0 \\ \mathcal{F}_{\xi\xi}(\mathbf{Y}^2) &= 0 \\ \mathcal{F}_{\xi\xi}(\mathbf{Y}^3) &= 0,\end{aligned}\quad (35)$$

where  $\mathcal{F}_{\xi\xi}$  is the operator defined by the left hand-side of (23) and each of the  $\mathbf{Y}^p$ ,  $p = 1, \dots, 3$  can be interpreted as a vector of velocities. The boundary conditions for each of the above equations, i.e.,  $\mathbf{Y}^p$ ,  $p = 1, \dots, 3$  can be obtained by using the periodicity conditions for vector-function  $\mathbf{N}^q(\xi)$ .

### Periodicity conditions:

Let us introduce a coordinate system w.r.t.  $\xi$  by taking its origin in the middle of the unit cell. Let  $d = \pm \frac{1}{2}$ . We introduce a mapping  $S_i \xi$  w.r.t. the surface  $\xi_i = 0$  as the following:

$$S_i \xi = ((-1)^{\delta_{i1}} \xi_1, (-1)^{\delta_{i2}} \xi_2, (-1)^{\delta_{i3}} \xi_3),$$

where  $\delta_{ij}$  is the Kronecker symbol, i.e.,

$$\delta_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j; \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

Since  $N_j \in H_{per[0]}^1(Y)$ ,

$$N_j(S_i \xi) |_{\xi_i=d} = N_j(\xi) |_{\xi_i=d}.\quad (36)$$

However, we can not claim that  $\sigma_{ih}$  would be equal on the opposite sides of the cell.




Figure 1 Coordinate system on the unit cell

Let us now express the boundary conditions for equations (35) in terms of displacements. For this end, recall that for each fixed  $q$  and  $p = 1, 2, 3$ ,  $v_j^{pq}(\xi) = Y_{jq}^p$ , where  $v_1^p, v_2^p, v_3^p$  are components of the displacement vector on the unit cell. Comparing this expression with (34), we obtain for  $q = 1$

$$\begin{aligned} v_1^{11}(\xi) &= N_{111}(\xi) + \xi_1, & v_2^{11}(\xi) &= N_{121}(\xi), & v_3^{11}(\xi) &= N_{131}(\xi) \\ v_1^{21}(\xi) &= N_{211}(\xi), & v_2^{21}(\xi) &= N_{221}(\xi) + \xi_1, & v_3^{21}(\xi) &= N_{231}(\xi) \\ v_1^{31}(\xi) &= N_{311}(\xi), & v_2^{31}(\xi) &= N_{321}(\xi), & v_3^{31}(\xi) &= N_{331}(\xi) + \xi_1 \end{aligned}$$

$q = 1$	$p = 1$	
Periodicity conditions	in terms of displacements	with inhomogeneities
$v_1 _{\xi_1=-0.5} = v_1 _{\xi_1=0.5} + 1$	$v_2 _{\xi_1=-0.5} = v_2 _{\xi_1=0.5}$	$v_3 _{\xi_1=-0.5} = v_3 _{\xi_1=0.5}$
$v_1 _{\xi_2=-0.5} = v_1 _{\xi_2=0.5}$	$v_2 _{\xi_2=-0.5} = v_2 _{\xi_2=0.5}$	$v_3 _{\xi_2=-0.5} = v_3 _{\xi_2=0.5}$
$v_1 _{\xi_3=-0.5} = v_1 _{\xi_3=0.5}$	$v_2 _{\xi_3=-0.5} = v_2 _{\xi_3=0.5}$	$v_3 _{\xi_3=-0.5} = v_3 _{\xi_3=0.5}$

$q = 1$	$p = 2$	
Periodicity conditions	in terms of displacements	with inhomogeneities
$v_1 _{\xi_1=-0.5} = v_1 _{\xi_1=0.5}$	$v_2 _{\xi_1=-0.5} = v_2 _{\xi_1=0.5} + 1$	$v_3 _{\xi_1=-0.5} = v_3 _{\xi_1=0.5}$
$v_1 _{\xi_2=-0.5} = v_1 _{\xi_2=0.5}$	$v_2 _{\xi_2=-0.5} = v_2 _{\xi_2=0.5}$	$v_3 _{\xi_2=-0.5} = v_3 _{\xi_2=0.5}$
$v_1 _{\xi_3=-0.5} = v_1 _{\xi_3=0.5}$	$v_2 _{\xi_3=-0.5} = v_2 _{\xi_3=0.5}$	$v_3 _{\xi_3=-0.5} = v_3 _{\xi_3=0.5}$

$q = 1$	$p = 3$	
Periodicity conditions	in terms of displacements	with inhomogeneities
$v_1 _{\xi_1=-0.5} = v_1 _{\xi_1=0.5}$	$v_2 _{\xi_1=-0.5} = v_2 _{\xi_1=0.5}$	$v_3 _{\xi_1=-0.5} = v_3 _{\xi_1=0.5} + 1$
$v_1 _{\xi_2=-0.5} = v_1 _{\xi_2=0.5}$	$v_2 _{\xi_2=-0.5} = v_2 _{\xi_2=0.5}$	$v_3 _{\xi_2=-0.5} = v_3 _{\xi_2=0.5}$
$v_1 _{\xi_3=-0.5} = v_1 _{\xi_3=0.5}$	$v_2 _{\xi_3=-0.5} = v_2 _{\xi_3=0.5}$	$v_3 _{\xi_3=-0.5} = v_3 _{\xi_3=0.5}$

for  $q = 2$

$$\begin{aligned} v_1^{12}(\xi) &= N_{112}(\xi) + \xi_2, & v_2^{12}(\xi) &= N_{122}(\xi), & v_3^{12}(\xi) &= N_{132}(\xi) \\ v_1^{22}(\xi) &= N_{212}(\xi), & v_2^{22}(\xi) &= N_{222}(\xi) + \xi_2, & v_3^{22}(\xi) &= N_{232}(\xi) \\ v_1^{32}(\xi) &= N_{312}(\xi), & v_2^{32}(\xi) &= N_{322}(\xi), & v_3^{32}(\xi) &= N_{332}(\xi) + \xi_2 \end{aligned}$$

Homogenization of elastic properties  $E(\xi)$  and  $\nu(\xi)$

$q = 2$	$p = 1$	
Periodicity conditions	in terms of displacements	with inhomogeneities
$v_1 _{\xi_1=-0.5} = v_1 _{\xi_1=0.5}$	$v_2 _{\xi_1=-0.5} = v_2 _{\xi_1=0.5}$	$v_3 _{\xi_1=-0.5} = v_3 _{\xi_1=0.5}$
$v_1 _{\xi_2=-0.5} = v_1 _{\xi_2=0.5} + 1$	$v_2 _{\xi_2=-0.5} = v_2 _{\xi_2=0.5}$	$v_3 _{\xi_2=-0.5} = v_3 _{\xi_2=0.5}$
$v_1 _{\xi_3=-0.5} = v_1 _{\xi_3=0.5}$	$v_2 _{\xi_3=-0.5} = v_2 _{\xi_3=0.5}$	$v_3 _{\xi_3=-0.5} = v_3 _{\xi_3=0.5}$

$q = 2$	$p = 2$	
Periodicity conditions	in terms of displacements	with inhomogeneities
$v_1 _{\xi_1=-0.5} = v_1 _{\xi_1=0.5}$	$v_2 _{\xi_1=-0.5} = v_2 _{\xi_1=0.5}$	$v_3 _{\xi_1=-0.5} = v_3 _{\xi_1=0.5}$
$v_1 _{\xi_2=-0.5} = v_1 _{\xi_2=0.5}$	$v_2 _{\xi_2=-0.5} = v_2 _{\xi_2=0.5} + 1$	$v_3 _{\xi_2=-0.5} = v_3 _{\xi_2=0.5}$
$v_1 _{\xi_3=-0.5} = v_1 _{\xi_3=0.5}$	$v_2 _{\xi_3=-0.5} = v_2 _{\xi_3=0.5}$	$v_3 _{\xi_3=-0.5} = v_3 _{\xi_3=0.5}$

$q = 2$	$p = 3$	
Periodicity conditions	in terms of displacements	with inhomogeneities
$v_1 _{\xi_1=-0.5} = v_1 _{\xi_1=0.5}$	$v_2 _{\xi_1=-0.5} = v_2 _{\xi_1=0.5}$	$v_3 _{\xi_1=-0.5} = v_3 _{\xi_1=0.5}$
$v_1 _{\xi_2=-0.5} = v_1 _{\xi_2=0.5}$	$v_2 _{\xi_2=-0.5} = v_2 _{\xi_2=0.5}$	$v_3 _{\xi_2=-0.5} = v_3 _{\xi_2=0.5} + 1$
$v_1 _{\xi_3=-0.5} = v_1 _{\xi_3=0.5}$	$v_2 _{\xi_3=-0.5} = v_2 _{\xi_3=0.5}$	$v_3 _{\xi_3=-0.5} = v_3 _{\xi_3=0.5}$

for  $q = 3$

$$\begin{aligned}
 v_1^{13}(\xi) &= N_{113}(\xi) + \xi_3, & v_2^{13}(\xi) &= N_{123}(\xi), & v_3^{13}(\xi) &= N_{133}(\xi) \\
 v_1^{23}(\xi) &= N_{213}(\xi), & v_2^{23}(\xi) &= N_{223}(\xi) + \xi_3, & v_3^{23}(\xi) &= N_{233}(\xi) \\
 v_1^{33}(\xi) &= N_{313}(\xi), & v_2^{33}(\xi) &= N_{323}(\xi), & v_3^{33}(\xi) &= N_{333}(\xi) + \xi_3
 \end{aligned}$$

$q = 3$	$p = 1$	
Periodicity conditions	in terms of displacements	with inhomogeneities
$v_1 _{\xi_1=-0.5} = v_1 _{\xi_1=0.5}$	$v_2 _{\xi_1=-0.5} = v_2 _{\xi_1=0.5}$	$v_3 _{\xi_1=-0.5} = v_3 _{\xi_1=0.5}$
$v_1 _{\xi_2=-0.5} = v_1 _{\xi_2=0.5}$	$v_2 _{\xi_2=-0.5} = v_2 _{\xi_2=0.5}$	$v_3 _{\xi_2=-0.5} = v_3 _{\xi_2=0.5}$
$v_1 _{\xi_3=-0.5} = v_1 _{\xi_3=0.5} + 1$	$v_2 _{\xi_3=-0.5} = v_2 _{\xi_3=0.5}$	$v_3 _{\xi_3=-0.5} = v_3 _{\xi_3=0.5}$

$q = 3$	$p = 2$	
Periodicity conditions	in terms of displacements	with inhomogeneities
$v_1 _{\xi_1=-0.5} = v_1 _{\xi_1=0.5}$	$v_2 _{\xi_1=-0.5} = v_2 _{\xi_1=0.5}$	$v_3 _{\xi_1=-0.5} = v_3 _{\xi_1=0.5}$
$v_1 _{\xi_2=-0.5} = v_1 _{\xi_2=0.5}$	$v_2 _{\xi_2=-0.5} = v_2 _{\xi_2=0.5}$	$v_3 _{\xi_2=-0.5} = v_3 _{\xi_2=0.5}$
$v_1 _{\xi_3=-0.5} = v_1 _{\xi_3=0.5}$	$v_2 _{\xi_3=-0.5} = v_2 _{\xi_3=0.5} + 1$	$v_3 _{\xi_3=-0.5} = v_3 _{\xi_3=0.5}$

$q = 3$	$p = 3$	
Periodicity conditions	in terms of displacements	with inhomogeneities
$v_1 _{\xi_1=-0.5} = v_1 _{\xi_1=0.5}$	$v_2 _{\xi_1=-0.5} = v_2 _{\xi_1=0.5}$	$v_3 _{\xi_1=-0.5} = v_3 _{\xi_1=0.5}$
$v_1 _{\xi_2=-0.5} = v_1 _{\xi_2=0.5}$	$v_2 _{\xi_2=-0.5} = v_2 _{\xi_2=0.5}$	$v_3 _{\xi_2=-0.5} = v_3 _{\xi_2=0.5}$
$v_1 _{\xi_3=-0.5} = v_1 _{\xi_3=0.5}$	$v_2 _{\xi_3=-0.5} = v_2 _{\xi_3=0.5}$	$v_3 _{\xi_3=-0.5} = v_3 _{\xi_3=0.5} + 1$

For solving the nine inhomogeneous periodic problems, which correspond to equations (87) or equations like (35), the finite element method included in software package ABAQUS should be used.

## 6.6 Symmetric case

Let  $\xi_i = 0$  be a surface of symmetry. Then, as it is known from mechanics,

$$N_j(S_i\xi) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = j; \\ N_j(\xi) & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases}; \quad (37)$$

$$\sigma_{ih}n_i|_{S_i\xi} = \begin{cases} \sigma_{ih}n_i|_{\xi} & \text{if } i = h; \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq h. \end{cases} \quad (38)$$

For solving the nine equations, which correspond to the equation (87) (since  $i_1 = 1, 2$  and  $3$ , and for each of them we have 3 equations like (35)), we use the finite element method.

Owing to the symmetry, it is enough to consider  $1/8$  of the periodic cell, and, according to 3, Chap.6 in [2], we have only two different types of boundary conditions:

$i_1 = 1$			
Equation a)			
$L_{\xi\xi}(\mathbf{M}^1) = 0$			
$\xi_1 = 0$	$M_1^1 = 0$	$\sigma_2^1 = 0$	$\sigma_3^1 = 0$
$\xi_1 = 0.5$	$M_1^1 = 0.5$	$\sigma_2^1 = 0$	$\sigma_3^1 = 0$
$\xi_2 = 0$	$M_2^1 = 0$	$\sigma_1^2 = 0$	$\sigma_3^2 = 0$
$\xi_2 = 0.5$	$M_2^1 = 0$	$\sigma_1^2 = 0$	$\sigma_3^2 = 0$
$\xi_3 = 0$	$M_3^1 = 0$	$\sigma_1^3 = 0$	$\sigma_2^3 = 0$
$\xi_3 = 0.5$	$M_3^1 = 0$	$\sigma_1^3 = 0$	$\sigma_2^3 = 0$

and the other case:

$i_1 = 1$			
Equation b)			
$L_{\xi\xi}(\mathbf{M}^p) = 0 \quad p = 2, 3$			
$\xi_1 = 0$	$\sigma_1^1 = 0$	$M_2^p = 0$	$M_3^p = 0$
$\xi_1 = 0.5$	$\sigma_1^1 = 0$	$M_2^p = \xi_1$	$M_3^p = 0$
$\xi_2 = 0$	$M_1^p = 0$	$\sigma_2^2 = 0$	$M_3^p = 0$
$\xi_2 = 0.5$	$M_1^p = 0$	$\sigma_2^2 = 0$	$M_3^p = 0$
$\xi_3 = 0$	$\sigma_1^3 = 0$	$\sigma_2^3 = 0$	$M_3^p = 0$
$\xi_3 = 0.5$	$\sigma_1^3 = 0$	$\sigma_2^3 = 0$	$M_3^p = 0$

The computation of the effective elasticity tensor is done according to the following equation, for which we use the solution of problem (87) and integrate over the volume of the unit cell:

$$L_{i_1 i_2 p q}^H = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 L_{i_1 k p l}(\xi) \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_k} (N^{i_2 q l}(\xi) + \xi_{i_2} \delta_{q l}) d\xi. \quad (39)$$

All elements of the elasticity tensor (81 elements) should be computed for an arbitrary cell-geometry. If the structure of the periodicity cell has a symmetry w.r.t. all cells' main cross-sections and axes of symmetry, this tensor becomes also symmetric and the homogenized material isotropic. Due to the isotropy of the material it is enough to define only two elastic constants:

$$L_{i j h k} = \lambda \delta_{ij} \delta_{hk} + \mu \delta_{ih} \delta_{jk} + \mu \delta_{ik} \delta_{jh}. \quad (40)$$

Choosing adequately those terms, we can determine the averaged values of the Lamé constants. The elements which have been selected are  $L_{1111}^H$  and  $L_{1212}^H$ , where:

$$L_{1111}^H = 2\mu^H + \lambda^H \quad L_{2112}^H = \mu^H \quad (41)$$

Finally, using equation (39) and integrating numerically we obtain the following relations for computations:

$$L_{1111}^H = 2\mu^H + \lambda^H = \sum_e vol_e \cdot \sigma_{11}, \quad (42)$$

$$L_{2112}^H = \mu^H = \sum_e vol_e \cdot \sigma_{21}, \quad (43)$$

where  $e$  is the number of elements and  $S_{11}$  and  $S_{12}$  are stress fields obtained by solving (87) with first and second types of boundary conditions, respectively.

Homogenization of elastic properties  $E(\xi)$  and  $\nu(\xi)$

Young's Modulus and Poisson's ratio can be calculated with the help of the following relations:

$$\lambda = \frac{E \nu}{(1 + \nu)(1 - 2\nu)}, \quad \mu = \frac{E}{2(1 + \nu)},$$

$\mu, \lambda$  : Lamé's constants,  
 $E$  : Young's modulus,  
 $\nu$  : Poisson's ratio.

## 7 Homogenized flow Condition for Ludwik's law

We are going to perform homogenization for the Ludwik's hardening law first. According to Theorem 4, the homogenized flow condition should be of the same kind as the one of the matrix material, i.e.,  $\sigma_y^0 = S_0^H + K^H (e_{pl}^0)^n$ . It is necessary to check, if both Assumptions 2 and 3 are satisfied for the equivalent stresses given by Ludwik's law. Our idea is to separate the plastic equivalent stress by superposition and perform homogenization for

$$\sigma_{eq}^\epsilon := \left( Tr \left( L \left( \frac{x}{\epsilon} \right) Du_{el}(x) \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} = S_0 \left( \frac{x}{\epsilon} \right) \quad (44)$$

and then for

$$\Delta \sigma_{eq}^\epsilon = g \left( \frac{x}{\epsilon}, Du_{pl}^\epsilon(x) \right). \quad (45)$$

The continuous monotone function  $g$  from the second expression satisfies all exponential growth conditions from theorem 4, because it is an exponential law of the second invariant of  $Du_{pl}^\epsilon$ , i.e.,

$$g \left( \frac{x}{\epsilon}, Du_{pl}^\epsilon(x) \right) = K \left( \frac{x}{\epsilon} \right) (e_{eq}(Du_{pl}^\epsilon(x)))^n, \quad (46)$$

where  $e_{eq}$  is the bilinear form:

$$e_{eq} = (Tr(Du_{pl}(x)))^2)^{1/2}. \quad (47)$$

The only thing that we should remark here, is that the von Mises equivalent stress and strains are the second invariant of the corresponding deviatoric stress or strain tensor, i.e.,  $\sigma_{eq} = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2} s_{jk}(x) s_{jk}(x)}$ , where  $s_{ij} = \sigma_{ij} - \delta_{ij} \frac{\sigma_{kk}}{3}$ . But since we came into the plastic region, matrix material became incompressible, i.e.,  $\sigma_{kk} = 0$  and we solve problem for deviators. Therefore, we can conclude that assumptions (iii)-(v) of Assumption 2 will be satisfied for the plasticity with the Ludwik yield rule for the Mises equivalent stresses and the constants  $c, C$  will be expressed through parameters  $S_0$  and  $K$ . It means, there exists the homogenized macroscopic hardening law. Furthermore, we can prove that the assumption 3 is also satisfied for the equivalent stress given in the form of Ludwik's law:  $\epsilon_{eq}$ , given by (47) is a homogeneous function of order one of  $Du_{pl}(x)$  and moreover  $g$  in (46) is a homogeneous function of order  $n$  of  $\epsilon_{eq}$ . Hence, there exists a homogenized macroscopic hardening law and parameters for this macroscopic law can be obtained from the auxiliary periodic cell problems.

### 7.1 Homogenization of $S(\xi)$

#### 7.1.1 Lower and upper bounds for the homogenized initial flow stress

If  $S_0^\epsilon(x)$  is the initial flow stress, then the initial micro-flow-condition can be obtained w.r.t. the algorithm given in Sec. 4. That is, from [1] and [Orlik, 2005], the following two-scale convergence is well-known for pure elastic composites:

$$\underbrace{\sigma_{11}^\epsilon(x)}_{\text{in elastic range}} \xrightarrow{\text{(two-scale converges)}} \sigma_{11}(B(\xi)) \underbrace{\sigma_{11}^H}_{1-D},$$

where  $B(\xi)$  is a stress concentration factor,  $\xi \in Y$ ,  $\xi = \frac{x}{\epsilon}$ . Furthermore, according to Proposition 7, the initial yield condition is

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \|\sigma_{eq}(\sigma_{11}^\epsilon(x))/S_0(x/\epsilon)\|_{C(\Omega)} \leq \|\sigma_{eq}(\sigma_{11}(B(\xi))\sigma_{11}^H)/S_0(\xi)\|_{C(Y)} \leq 1,$$

$\forall x \in \Omega, \xi \in Y$ .

Since the equivalent von Mises stress is the first order homogeneous function of  $\sigma_{11}^H := S^H$  (see 2),

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \|\sigma_{eq}^\epsilon(x)/S_0(x/\epsilon)\|_{C(\Omega)} \leq \|\sigma_{eq}(B(\xi))/S_0(\xi)\|_{C(Y)} S_0^H \leq 1, \quad (48)$$

$\forall x \in \Omega, \xi \in Y$ .

How to find  $B(\xi)$ ? It can be found from the solution of the following auxiliary problem on the unit periodicity cell:




Figure 2

Auxiliary, pure elastic, problem for  $B(\xi)$

The stress field from this solution is exactly  $B(\xi)$ , and  $\sigma_{eq}[B(\xi)]$  is the equivalent von Mises stress in this solution. According to Sec. 4

$$S_0^H = \frac{1}{\sup_{\xi \in Y} \frac{\sigma_{eq}[B(\xi)]}{S_0(\xi)}},$$

i.e.,

$$S_0^H = \frac{1}{\max \left( \sup_{\xi \in Y_M} \frac{\sigma_{eq}[B(\xi)]}{S_0^M}, \sup_{\xi \in Y_i} \frac{\sigma_{eq}[B(\xi)]}{S_0^i} \right)},$$

where  $S_0^i$  is the yield or ultimate stress for the inclusion's material.

We would like to remark, that stiffer material leads to higher stresses. Nevertheless, is  $S_0^i$  so much higher than  $S_0^M$ , that we can forecast that

$$S_0^{H \text{ lower}} = \frac{S_0^M}{\sup_{\xi \in Y_M} \sigma_{eq}[B(\xi)]}. \quad (49)$$

For theoretical approval see [Orlik, 2005]. We would like to point out here, that condition (49) characterizes the occurrence of a first plastic point inside the microstructure, therefore we called this value the lower homogenized initial yield limit. We can identify the macroscopic initial yield stress with the state, when the complete matrix material becomes plastic, i.e.,

$$\inf_{\xi \in Y} (\sigma_{eq}(B(\xi))/S_0(\xi)) S_0^H \leq \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \sigma_{eq}^\epsilon(x)/S_0(x/\epsilon) \leq 1, \quad \forall x \in \Omega, \xi \in Y. \quad (50)$$

To approve this condition we refer to the strong convergence of local equivalent stresses (48), mentioned above and pointed out in [Orlik, 2005]. The strong convergence implies the pointwise one and then we take the lower limit. Finally

$$S_0^{H \text{ upper}} = \frac{S_0^M}{\inf_{\xi \in Y_M} \sigma_{eq}[B(\xi)]}. \quad (51)$$

## 7.1.2 Homogenized initial flow stress

Another way to find the homogenized parameter  $S_0$  can be taken from [Lipton, 2006],

$$S_0^{\text{average}} = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{|Y|} \int_Y \frac{\sigma_{eq}[B(\xi)]}{S_0(\xi)} d\xi},$$

or taking in account that  $S_0^i \gg S_0^M$ ,

$$S_0^{H \text{ average}} = \frac{S_0^M}{\frac{1}{|Y|} \int_Y \chi_M(\xi) \sigma_{eq}[B(\xi)] d\xi} = \frac{S_0^M}{\frac{1}{|Y|} \int_{Y_M} \sigma_{eq}[B(\xi)] d\xi} \quad (52)$$

where  $S_0^M$  is dependent on the parameter  $S_0$  for the matrix material, and  $\chi_M$ ,  $\chi_i$  denote characteristic functions of domains in  $Y$  occupied by matrix and inclusion material respectively.

### 7.1.3 Effective initial plastic stress obtained by self-consistent method

If  $S_0^\varepsilon(x)$  has not to coincide with a flow stress, then we can find it by a self-consistent strategy, as an averaged over the microstructure stress corresponding to the same elastic strain, which occurs under the uni-axial stress  $\sigma_{11} := S_0^M$  in the homogenous sample from the pure matrix material. I.e.,

$$S_0^{H \text{ eff. extension}} = \frac{\hat{E}^H}{E_M} S_0^M \quad (53)$$

or

$$S_0^{H \text{ eff. hydrostatic}} = \frac{\hat{k}^H}{k_M} S_0^M. \quad (54)$$

where  $E_M$ ,  $k_m$  are the Young's and bulk moduli of the matrix material and  $\hat{E}^H$ ,  $\hat{k}^H$  are the homogenized Young's and bulk moduli of the composite.

**Remark 11** Values obtained later on in this report by formulas (67) and (68) should be close to those obtained by formula (52). This statement requires an additional explanation. The auxiliary problem for calculation of the effective elastic constants in Sec. 6 is the elasticity problem for the composite unit cell with boundary conditions given in terms of periodic displacement components with only one additional unit displacement in the direction defining kind of the 1-Dimensional experiment.

The auxiliary problem for the stress concentration 2 considered in this section, considers the same structure and physics of the unit cell and the same 1-D experiment, but with the boundary conditions in the stress terms. Since the problem is linear, solutions of these two problems coincide within some scaling parameter and one boundary condition can be easily reformulated in terms of the other one by the formula given in Sec.5, [Orlik, 2005]:  $\sigma_{ij}^0(x, \xi) = B_{ijkl}(\xi) \hat{\sigma}_{kl}(x)$ , where  $B_{ijkl}(\xi) = L_{ijh\gamma}(\xi) \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_\gamma} (N_{hpq}(\xi) + \xi_q \delta_{hp}) L_{pqkl}^{H-1}$  is the stress concentration tensor and  $\frac{1}{|Y|} \int_Y B_{ijkl}(\xi) d\xi = \delta_{ij} \delta_{kl}$ .

## 7.2 Homogenization of $K(\xi)$ .

It is obvious and is also mentioned in ["Lecture notes" of Suquet], that the whole deformation process can be separated into 3 stages: in the first one both, inclusions and matrix, are object to only elastic deformations. In the second (which is actually very short and will be neglected in our work), the matrix material starts already to be object to plastic deformations, but they are still smaller than the elastic deformations of the inclusions. In the third stage the plastic deformation of the matrix material is much larger than the elastic deformation of the inclusions, and then the last one can be neglected so that the inclusions are assumed rigid in this stage. We would like to consider the third stage in this subsection.

### 7.2.1 1-D Homogenization based on rheological models and self-consistency

(a) **Maxwell model** (Reuss)

Consider a bar of the length  $l$  represented by two materials, which are switched in an  $\varepsilon$ -periodic series, as it is shown in figure 3.






Figure 3

1-D Maxwell model




Figure 4

1-D Voigt model

$$\sigma^\varepsilon(x) = \sigma(x, \xi) = \sigma^H(x) \quad \forall x, \xi; \quad e^\varepsilon(x) = \sum_{l/\varepsilon} e(\xi) = \frac{l}{\varepsilon}(e_m + e_i)$$

$$e_{pl}(\xi) = K(\xi)^{-1/n}(\sigma^H - S_0^H)^{1/n}, \quad \text{then} \quad (55)$$

$$e_{pl}^H = \left( \frac{1}{|Y|} \int_Y K^{-1/n}(\xi) d\xi \right) (\sigma^H - S_0^H)^{1/n},$$

i.e.,

$$K^H = \left( \frac{1}{|Y|} \int_Y K^{-1/n}(\xi) d\xi \right)^{-n} \quad (56)$$

(b) **Voigt model**

Let us consider now material composed as in figure 4 with the period of structure  $\varepsilon$ .

$$\begin{cases} \sigma_x^\varepsilon = \sum_{l/\varepsilon} (\sigma(\xi)) = \frac{l}{\varepsilon}(\sigma_m + \sigma_i) \\ e_{pl\ x}^\varepsilon = e_{m\ pl\ x} = e_{i\ pl\ x} = e_{pl\ x}(x, \xi) = e_{pl\ x}^H \end{cases}$$

$$\sigma(\xi) = S_0^H + K(\xi)(e_{pl}^H)^n, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \begin{cases} \sigma_m = S_0^H + K_m(e_{pl}^H)^n \\ \sigma_i = S_0^H + K_m(e_{pl}^H)^n \end{cases}, \quad 0 \leq \xi \leq 1.$$

That is

$$\sigma^H = \frac{1}{|Y|} \int_Y \sigma(\xi) d\xi = S_0^H + K^H (e_{pl}^H)^n,$$

where

$$K^H = \frac{1}{|Y|} \int_Y K(\xi) d\xi \quad (57)$$

**Remark 12** According to Sec. 1.6 of [Suquet, "Lecture Notes"], the first bound (with Maxwell representation of composite) is called Reuss bound and is a lower one for  $K^H$  while the Voigt bound is an upper bound.

### 7.2.2 3-D Homogenization for $K(\xi)$

- (a) Let us restrict ourselves only on the plastic stress range, i.e. only stress values after occurrence of the plastic deformations reduced by  $S_0$ :

$$\Delta\sigma_Y^\varepsilon(\bar{e}_{pl}^\varepsilon) := \sigma_Y^\varepsilon - S_0^M.$$

W.r.t. [1], [4] and our Sec. 2,

$$\Delta\sigma_{pl}^\varepsilon(e_{pl}) \stackrel{\text{(two-scale converges)}}{\Rightarrow} \Delta\sigma_{pl}(\xi, \nabla_\xi(\xi + N(\xi)) \cdot e_{pl}^{(0)}(x))$$




Figure 5 Homogenization scheme for elasto-plasticity illustrated by 1-D  $\sigma$ - $e$  diagram

Now, if we recall that  $\sigma_{pl\ eq} = \Lambda(\sigma) = \sigma_Y$  and apply Proposition 7, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup_{x \in \Omega} |\Delta \sigma_Y^\epsilon(\bar{e}_{pl}) - \Delta \sigma_Y(\xi, \nabla_\xi(\xi + N(\xi))|_{\xi=x/\epsilon} \cdot \bar{e}_{pl}^{(0)}(x))| \\ &= \limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup_{x \in \Omega} |\Delta \sigma_Y^\epsilon(\bar{e}_{pl}) - \Delta \sigma_Y(\xi, 1 + \bar{e}_{pl}^1(\xi))|_{\xi=x/\epsilon} (\bar{e}_{pl}^{(0)}(x))^n|. \end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$\limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup_{x \in \Omega} |\Delta \sigma_Y^\epsilon(\bar{e}_{pl})| \leq \sup_{x \in \Omega} \sup_{\xi \in Y} |\Delta \sigma_Y(\xi, \bar{e}_{pl}^{cell}(\xi)) (\bar{e}_{pl}^0(x))^n|. \quad (58)$$

Or we can find the two-scale limit for the equivalent stress  $\sigma_{pl\ eq}$  directly. Since the equivalent deviatoric stress is an exponential function of the trace of the squared deformation deviatoric tensor and therefore satisfies the condition of monotonicity and exponential growth, the Mitty lemma can be applied to prove its two-scale convergence by monotonicity. That is

$$|\Delta \sigma_Y^\epsilon(\bar{e}_{pl})| \xrightarrow{\text{weak } \epsilon \rightarrow 0} \left( \frac{1}{|Y|} \int_{|Y|} \Delta \sigma_Y(\xi, \bar{e}_{pl}^{cell}) d\xi \right) (\bar{e}_{pl}^0(x))^n. \quad (59)$$

The convergence and limit given by (58) is completely approved by [Orlik, 2005], while (59) requires an additional rigorous proof.

For the Ludwik law

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \sigma_Y(\xi, 1 + \bar{e}_{pl}^1(\xi)) &= K(\xi)(1 + \bar{e}_{pl}^1(\xi))^n, \\ \bar{e}_{pl}^1(\xi) &\text{ is a 1-periodic function and } (1 + \bar{e}_{pl}^1(\xi)) = \bar{e}_{pl}^{cell}(\xi) \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$K^H = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{|Y|} \int_Y \Delta \sigma_{eq}(\xi, \bar{e}_{pl}^{cell}) d\xi, \text{ or} \\ \sup_{\xi \in Y_M} |\Delta \sigma_{eq}(\xi, \bar{e}_{pl}^{cell}(\xi))|. \end{cases} \quad (60)$$

Let us now remark that the inclusion material is pure elastic and that there exists no explicite  $K^i$ . We can approximate inclusion material behaviour corresponding to the plastic hardening in matrix by the same exponential law as for matrix (green line on figure above). Furthermore, we refer to p.194 of the book [3], where it is proved that in case of rigid or very stiff inclusions the integral in the last expression should be taken only over the matrix volume and for the cell boundary conditions we should take either



$$U^{cell} = U^0 + \text{rigid displacement}$$

at the interface between matrix and inclusion materials, or

$$e^{cell}(\xi)|_{\xi \in Y_M} = e^0.$$

This statement require also more explanations.

**Boundary conditions for the cell problem:**



$$\Delta \sigma_Y^{cell} = K(\xi)(\bar{e}_{pl}^{cell}(\xi))^n,$$

$$U^{cell} = \int_{|Y|} \bar{e}_{pl}^{cell}(\xi) d\xi = \xi + N(\xi)$$

$N(\xi)$  is periodic function.

- (b) Let us apply the stress  $S_0^H$  to the cell. Then the flow rule for the **auxiliary problem** will be:

$$\sigma_y^{cell}(\xi) = S_0^H + K(\xi)(\bar{e}_{pl}^{cell}(\xi))^n$$

The auxiliary problem can be then solved with homogenized elastic properties, i.e.

$$e^{cell}(\xi) = e_{pl}^{cell}(\xi) + \frac{\sigma^{cell}(\xi)}{E^H}$$

**Remark 13** The auxiliary problem can be solved with the flow rule:

$$\sigma_y^{cell}(\xi) = S_0(\xi) + K(\xi)(\bar{e}_{pl}^{cell}(\xi))^n.$$

$$\text{In this case } K^H = \frac{1}{|Y|} \int_Y (\sigma_{pl}^{cell}(\xi) - S_0(\xi)) d\xi.$$

Further assumption:

$$\sigma_y|_{\xi=-\frac{1}{2}} = \sigma_y|_{\xi=\frac{1}{2}} = S_0^H + \Delta \sigma_y^H,$$

then

$$U^{cell}(\xi) = \xi + N(\xi) + \frac{S^H + \Delta \sigma_y^H}{E^H} \xi,$$


$N(\xi)$  is periodic.

## 8 Homogenized flow Condition for Hocket-Sherby's law

The Hocket-Sherby's plastic law is written in the following way:

$$\sigma^\epsilon(x) = b\left(\frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) - \left(b\left(\frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) - a\left(\frac{x}{\epsilon}\right)\right) \exp\left(-m\left(\frac{x}{\epsilon}\right)(e_{pl}^\epsilon(x))^n\right).$$

Calculation of equivalent parameters for a specific composite material



It can be checked, that the Hocket-Sherby condition satisfies Assumption 2 but not Assumption 3. That means that there exists a limiting homogenized hardening law, but the problems on the periodicity cell and on the macroscopic body can not be separated. Nevertheless, we will try to separate them by some trick, namely perform homogenization for the flow limit  $b(\xi)$  exactly as for the term  $S(\xi)$  from the previous section and then define

$$\Delta\sigma(x, \xi) := -(b(\xi) - a(\xi)) \exp(-m(\xi) \cdot (e_{pl}^n(x, \xi))), \quad \xi = \frac{x}{\epsilon}.$$

Further, we can consider  $\ln(\Delta\sigma^\epsilon(\xi))$ , which reduces this law to the Ludwik's law:

$$\ln(\Delta\sigma(x, \xi)) := \ln(a(\xi) - b(\xi)) - m(\xi) \cdot (e_{pl}^n(x, \xi)).$$

Now we can formally use for parameters  $\ln(a(\xi) - b(\xi))$  the same homogenization procedure as for  $S(\xi)$  from the Ludwik's model, and for  $-m(\xi)$  - the same as for  $K(\xi)$  from Ludwik's law.

## 9 Calculation of equivalent parameters for a specific composite material

In this section we consider an elasto-plastic composite consisting of a metallic matrix and silica, pure elastic particles. Both, inclusion and matrix materials are isotropic and their elastic properties are known. The matrix material possesses one of the following hardening plastic relations

$$\sigma_{eq} = \begin{cases} \sigma_{pl}(x) = S_0 + K(\bar{\epsilon}_{pl})^n(x) & \text{Ludwik} \\ \sigma_{pl}(x) = b - (b - a) \exp(-m \cdot (\bar{\epsilon}_{pl})^n(x)) & \text{Hocket-Sherby} \end{cases}$$

where  $\sigma_{eq}$  and  $\bar{\epsilon}_{pl}$  are equivalent Mises stress and plastic strains and  $S_0$ ,  $K$ ,  $n$  and  $a$ ,  $b$ ,  $m$ ,  $n$  are known parameter sets.

For the solution of the cell problems we used the commercial finite element (FE) software ABAQUS with its postprocessor, integration of the corresponding stress components over the periodicity cell, was performed with the help of own python scripts.

### Given for elastic part and Ludwik's law:

Volume fraction of inclusion:  $c = 0.10$ .

Number of elements: 57898

Number of strings with stresses in 4 integr. points/el-t: 231592.

Calculation of equivalent parameters for a specific composite material

	Inclusions characteristics	Matrix characteristics
$E$	$4.8 \cdot 10^5 \cdot 0$	$4.5 \cdot 10^4$
$\nu$	0.20	0.32
$\mu$	$2.0 \cdot 10^5$	17045.45455
$k$	$2.67 \cdot 10^5$	41666.67
$S_0$		33.47
$\bar{K}$		305.2
$n$		0.1726

Table 1 Elastic and Ludwig's constants

### Numerically obtained averaged elastic and plastic parameters:

For calculation of the homogenized elastic coefficients we solved 2 boundary value problems (BVP) for a pure elastic composite with  $E_m, \nu_M, E_i, \nu_i$ .

The coefficient  $\lambda_{eq} + 2\mu_{eq}$  was obtained from the solution of the BVP in the 1/8 unit cell with periodic boundary conditions and extension  $U_1|_{x_1=0} = 0.0, U_1|_{x_1=0.5} = 0.5$ . Since the elasticity problem is linear, the solution depends linearly on the boundary data. Therefore, in order to stay in the infinitesimal strain theory, we applied  $U_1|_{x_1=0.5} = 0.005$  instead of  $U_1|_{x_1=0.5} = 0.5$ , solved the problem and then multiplied the solution by the factor 100.

The coefficient  $\mu_{eq}$  was obtained from the solution of BVP in the 1/8 unit cell with periodic boundary conditions and shear  $U_2|_{x_1=0} = 0.0, U_2|_{x_1=0.5} = 0.005$ . The solution again previously was multiplied by 100.

$\lambda_{eq} + 2\mu_{eq} = 72219.48, \mu_{eq} = 20103.09, k_{eq} = 45415.35, E_{eq} = 52554.82, \nu_{eq} = 0.31$  Matrix volume = 0.112574, volume of 1/8 of the periodic cell = 0, 125.

$$S_{0 \text{ eq yield}} = 12.73,$$

$$S_{0 \text{ eq aver ext}} = \frac{E_{eq}}{E_M} S_{0 M} = 39.09,$$

$$S_{0 \text{ eq aver hydr}} = \frac{k_{eq}}{k_M} S_{0 M} = 36.48$$

For calculation of the homogenized coefficient  $k$  we solved (BVP) for almost pure plastic matrix with constitutive law  $\sigma = 0.03 + ke_{pl}^n$  and rigid or very stiff ( $E_i = 48 \cdot 10^9$ ) inclusions with B.C. unit tension. Since the pure plastic problem  $\sigma = ke_{pl}^n$  is homogeneous of the order  $n$ , the solution also depends homogeneously of the order  $n$  on the boundary data. Therefore, to avoid numerical problems with abaqus, we again applied  $U_1|_{x_1=0.5} = 0.05$  instead of  $U_1|_{x_1=0.5} = 0.5$ , solved the problem and then multiplied the solution by 100.  $K_{aver} = 396.98, K_{aver matr.} = 244.87, K_{max M} = 329.76$ .

### For Hockett-Sherby plastic model:

For the matrix material we considered the following parameter set:  $a = 82.3, b = 165, m = 2561, n = 1.216$ .

### Numerical results for the averaged elastic and plastic parameters:

$$m_{rigid \text{ particl.}}^H = 3216.41, m_{stiff \text{ particl.}}^H = 5142.46, m_{max M}^H = 4425.62, \ln(b_{eq} - a_{eq})_{ext} = 5.16, \ln(b_{eq} - a_{eq})_{hydr} = 4.81, b_{eq \text{ ext}} = 192.70, b_{eq \text{ hydr}} = 179.84, a_{eq \text{ ext}} = 19.15, a_{eq \text{ hydr}} = 56.81$$




Figure 6 Mises stress in the periodicity cell. Solution of problem with pure plastic matrix,  $\sigma = k \left(\frac{e_{pl}}{10}\right)^n$ , and rigid inclusions. B.C.  $U_1|_{x_1=0.5} = 0.05$

## 10 Mechanical averaging

### 10.1 Averaging of elastic properties

We consider the composite with the following elastic coefficients for inclusion and matrix materials:

$$E_i = 480000.0, \nu_i = 0.20, E_m = 45000.0, \nu_m = 0.32,$$

where index  $i$  is related to inclusion and  $m$  - to matrix materials, and the inclusion volume fraction is  $c = 0.1$ . Let us consider some relations and simple averaging formulas well-known from mechanical literature. First of all, let us recall the following relations for two-constant sets, Lamé-constants or Young modulus and Poisson's ratio, describing elastic properties of isotropic materials:

$$\lambda = \frac{E\nu}{(1+\nu)(1-2\nu)}, \quad \mu = \frac{E}{2(1+\nu)}, \quad k = \lambda + \frac{2}{3}\mu$$

And the back formulas are:

$$E = \frac{9k\mu}{3k + \mu}, \quad \nu = \frac{3k - 2\mu}{2(3k + \mu)}$$

**Hashin's composite sphere model for elastic coefficients**




Figure 7

Mises stress in the periodicity cell. Solution of problem with pure plastic matrix,  $\sigma = m \left( \frac{\epsilon_{pl}}{100} \right)^n$ , and rigid inclusions

Suppose, the composite material consists of composite spheres of different sizes, where we call a composite sphere a spherical particle from the inclusion material, of radius  $a$ , embedded into the spherical matrix phase, of radius  $b$ . The composite spheres model assumes that for each composite sphere the ratio  $a/b$  is supposed to be a constant, independent of the absolute particle size (Christensen [6], Ch.2).

Let us cut out a single composite sphere. It is subjected to a hydrostatic traction  $p$  on its outer boundary. We consider additionally an equivalent sphere of homogeneous material with unknown elastic constants, which is subjected to the same outer traction  $p$ . Then we define the continuity conditions for radial displacement and normal radial stresses on the interface  $r = a$  between inclusion and matrix materials. Furthermore, on the boundary  $r = b$ , the radial displacements should take the same values for the composite sphere and the sphere of the equivalent homogeneous material, radial normal stresses should also be identical and be equal to the outer traction  $p$ .

Substituting a general solution of the single Lamé equation of the spherically symmetric elasticity problem (Christensen [6]) to the inclusion, the matrix, and the equivalent sphere, avoiding the singularity at  $r = 0$ , and satisfying the continuity conditions, we get

$$k_{eq} = k_m + \frac{c(k_i - k_m)}{1 + (1 - c)[(k_i - k_m)/(k_m + 4/3\mu_m)]}, \quad (61)$$

where  $c$ ,  $k$  and  $\mu$  are the volume fraction of the particles, bulk and shear moduli, and the indices  $i$ ,  $m$ ,  $eq$  are related to the inclusion, the matrix and the equivalent homogeneous sphere respectively.

**Lower and upper bounds**

From the potential energy minima principle, the following boundaries for the bulk modulus

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{c}{1 + (1-c)[(k_i - k_m)/(k_m + 4/3\mu_m)]} &\leq \frac{k_{eq} - k_m}{k_i - k_m} \\ &\leq \frac{c}{1 + (1-c)[(k_i - k_m)/(k_m + 4/3\mu_i)]}, \end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

and for the shear modulus

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{c}{1 + (1-c)[(\mu_i - \mu_m)/(\mu_m + \mu_i)]} &\leq \frac{\mu_{eq} - \mu_m}{\mu_i - \mu_m} \\ &\leq \frac{c}{1 + (1-c)[(\mu_i - \mu_m)/(\mu_m + \mu_u)]} \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

are known, where

$$\mu_l = \frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{1}{\mu_m} + \frac{10}{9k_m + 8\mu_m} \right)^{-1}, \quad \mu_u = \frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{1}{\mu_i} + \frac{10}{9k_i + 8\mu_i} \right)^{-1} \quad (64)$$

For the considered low inclusion concentration we stay closer to the lower boundary.

**Calculations of elastic coefficients for our special case**

We found out that  $\mu_i = 2.0 \cdot 10^5$ ,  $k_i = 2.67 \cdot 10^5$ ,  $\mu_m = 17045.45$ ,  $k_m = 41666.67$ . And

$$47095.28 \leq k_{eq} \leq 55247.41, \quad 20344.38 \leq \mu_{eq} \leq 27448.65$$

$$E_{eq}^{Hashin} = 53350.91, \quad \nu_{eq}^{Hashin} = 0.31.$$

## 10.2 Effective parameters for plastic Ludwik and Hockett-Sherby laws obtained by self-consistent methods

We shall consider two forms of the plastic laws for matrix material, namely Ludwik's law:

$$\sigma = S_0 + K e_{pl}^n, \quad (65)$$

and the Hockett-Sherby law:

$$\sigma = b - (b - a) \exp(-m e_{pl}^n). \quad (66)$$

Let us consider the Ludwik law first and then show that the Hockett-Sherby law can be also transformed to the Ludwik law and, hence, the same homogenization algorithm can be applied for it too.

**Ludwik's plasticity**

If  $S_0$  has not to coincide with a flow stress, then we can find it by a self-consistent strategy, as an average over the microstructure stress corresponding to the same elastic strain, which occurs under the uni-axial stress  $\sigma_{11} := S_0^M$  in the homogenous sample from the pure matrix material. I.e.,

$$S_{0\ eq\ ext} = \frac{\hat{E}_{eq}}{E_M} S_0^M \quad (67)$$

or

$$S_{0\ eq\ hydr} = \frac{\hat{k}_{eq}}{k_M} S_0^M. \quad (68)$$

where  $E_M$ ,  $k_m$  are the Young's modulus and bulk modulus of the matrix's material and  $\hat{E}_{eq}$ ,  $\hat{k}_{eq}$  are the homogenized Young's and bulk moduli of the composite.

Further, we use the averaging formulas of Suquet (see Annex) for power-law plasticity for matrix materials and rigid inclusions:

$$K_{eq} = K^M \frac{1 + \frac{d}{2}c}{(1-c)^n}, \quad (69)$$



or

$$K_{eq} = K^M \frac{(1 + \frac{d}{2}c)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}}{(1-c)^n}. \quad (70)$$

Here  $d$  is dimension of the problem, i.e.  $d = 3$  in our case.

$$n_{eq} := n.$$

#### Calculations for Ludwik's model

After performing the calculations for Ludwik's law (9) of matrix material with constants  $S_0 = 33.47$ ,  $K = 305.2$ ,  $n = 0.1726$ , we obtain:

$$S_{0 \text{ eq ext}} = 39.68, \quad S_{0 \text{ eq hydr}} = 37.83.$$

Furthermore, using the averaging formulas of Suquet (69) and (70),

$$K_{eq} = 357.42, \quad \text{or} \quad K_{eq} = 337.34.$$

#### Hocket-Sherby's plasticity

Now, consider the Hocket-Sherby plastic law (66). We will perform the averaging for the flow limit  $b$  exactly as for the term  $S$  from the Ludwik's law and then define

$$-\Delta\sigma := (b - a) \exp(-m \cdot e_{pl}^n).$$

Further, we consider  $\ln(\Delta\sigma)$ , which reduces this law to the Ludwik's law:

$$\ln(-\Delta\sigma) := \ln(b - a) - m \cdot e_{pl}^n.$$

Now we can formally use for parameters  $\ln(b - a)$  the same homogenization procedure as for  $S$  from the Ludwik's model, and for  $-m$  - the same as for  $K$  from Ludwik's law.

#### Calculations for Hocket-Sherby's model

For the matrix material we have:  $a = 82.3$ ,  $b = 165$ ,  $m = 2561$ ,  $n = 1.216$ . Using formulas (67) and (68),

$$b_{eq \text{ ext}} = 195.62, \quad b_{eq \text{ hydr}} = 186.50.$$

$$\ln(b - a)_{eq \text{ ext}} = 5.23, \quad \ln(b - a)_{eq \text{ hydr}} = 4.99.$$

That is,

$$a_{eq \text{ ext}} = 7.97, \quad a_{eq \text{ hydr}} = 39.49$$

Furthermore, using the averaging formulas of Suquet (69) and (70),

$$m_{eq} = 3347.72, \quad \text{or} \quad m_{eq} = 3398.63.$$

We also performed mechanical averaging with volume fraction  $c = 12\%$ . The calculated averaged characteristics are given in tables 10.2-10.2 below.

In Fig. 8, the effective Hook's law obtained by asymptotic homogenization is graphically compared with the result of the mechanical averaging. It can be seen that averaged lines are captured between those for matrix and inclusion materials. Figures 9 and 10 demonstrate the same for parameters  $K$  and  $m$  from the Ludwik and Hocket-Sherby laws respectively. Finally, we compared effective constitutive laws obtained by homogenization and averaging with experimental data obtained by BMW. The comparence demonstrated a good approximation of the numerical curves by our model except of the region around the small plastic strains, where they are comparable with elastic deformations of inclusion material. The reason is that the averaged curve is glued from parts calculated for pure elasticity and those for plastic matrix and rigid inclusion. For a correct approximation of the experiment it might be helpful to consider for the phase of small plastic deformations an additional curve in-between, which should contain  $K^H$  calculated by averaging of the cell solution for the problem with pure plastic particles and matrix both modeled by Ludwik's law  $\Delta\sigma = K \cdot (e_{pl})^n$ , where  $K$  for inclusions should be rather high and taken in such a way that it approximates the line  $\Delta\sigma = S_0 M + E_i \cdot e_{pl}$  (green curve on the Fig. 5).

	Inclusions characteristics	Matrix characteristics	Equivalent characteristics, mechanical averaging	Equivalent characteristics, asymptotic homogenization
$E$	$4.8 \cdot 10^5 \cdot 0$	$4.5 \cdot 10^4$	53350.91	52554.82
$\nu$	0.20	0.32	0.31	0.31
$\mu$	$2.0 \cdot 10^5$	17045.45	20344.38	20103.09
$k$	$2.67 \cdot 10^5$	41666.67	47095.28	45415.35

Table 2 Elasticity (volume fraction  $c = 10\%$ )

	Matrix characteristics	Equivalent characteristics, mechanical averaging	Equivalent characteristics, asymptotic homogenization
$S_0$	33.47	$S_{0_{ext}} = 39.68$ $S_{0_{hyd}} = 37.83$	$S_{0_{ext}} = 39.09$ $S_{0_{hyd}} = 36.48$
$K$	305.2	$K_1 = 357.42$ $K_2 = 337.34$	$K = 396.98$ $K_{matr} = 244.87$ $K_{maxM} = 329.76$
$n$	0.1726	0.1726	0.1726

Table 3 Ludwik plasticity (volume fraction  $c = 10\%$ )

	Matrix characteristics	Equivalent characteristics, mechanical averaging	Equivalent characteristics, asymptotic homogenization
$b$	165	$b_{ext} = 195.62$ $b_{hyd} = 186.50$	$b_{ext} = 192.70$ $b_{hyd} = 179.84$
$\ln(b - a)$	4.42	$\ln(b - a)_{ext} = 5.23$ $\ln(b - a)_{hyd} = 4.99$	$\ln(b - a)_{ext} = 5.16$ $\ln(b - a)_{hyd} = 4.81$
$a$	82.3	$a_{ext} = 7.97$ $a_{hyd} = 39.49$	$a_{ext} = 19.15$ $a_{hyd} = 56.81$
$m$	2561	$m_1 = 3347.71$ $m_2 = 3398.63$	$m_{rigid\ partic.} = 3216.41$ $m_{aver. with\ stiff\ partic.} = 5142.46$
$n$	1.216	1.216	1.216

Table 4 Hockett-Sherby plasticity (volume fraction  $c = 10\%$ )

	Inclusions characteristics	Matrix characteristics	Equivalent characteristics, mechanical averaging
$E$	$4.8 \cdot 10^5$	$4.5 \cdot 10^4$	55201.78
$\nu$	0.20	0.32	0.31
$\mu$	$2.0 \cdot 10^5$	17045.45	21077.61
$k$	$2.67 \cdot 10^5$	41666.67	48292.72

Table 5 Elasticity (volume fraction 12%)

	Matrix characteristics	Equivalent characteristics, mechanical averaging
$S_0$	33.47	$S_{0_{ext}} = 41.06$ $S_{0_{hydr}} = 38.79$
$K$	305.2	$K_1 = 368.17$ $K_2 = 343.80$
$n$	0.1726	0.1726

Table 6 Ludwik plasticity (volume fraction 12%)

	Matrix characteristics	Equivalent characteristics, mechanical averaging
$b$	165	$b_{ext} = 202.41$ $b_{hyd} = 191.24$
$\ln(b - a)$	4.42	$\ln(b - a)_{ext} = 5.42$ $\ln(b - a)_{hyd} = 5.12$
$a$	82.3	$a_{ext} = -22.61$ $a_{hyd} = 24.35$
$m$	2561	$m_1 = 3530.21$ $m_2 = 3593.88$
$n$	1.216	1.216

Table 7 Hocket-Sherby plasticity (volume fraction 12%)




Figure 8 Averaging of elastic properties




Figure 9 Ludwik plasticity  $\Delta\sigma = K(e_{pl})^{0.1726}$




Figure 10 Ludwik plasticity  $\Delta\sigma = m(e_{pl})^{1.216}$

## 11 Appendix: EFFECTIVE PROPERTIES OF NONLINEAR COMPOSITES OF P. Suquet

We denote by  $c^{(r)} = \frac{1}{|V|} \int_v x^{(r)}(x) dx$ , the volume fraction of the inclusions.

### A class of nonlinear composites

In most of this study the constitutive law of the individual constituents can be put as

$$\sigma_m = \kappa tr(\varepsilon), s_{ij} = 2\mu_s(\varepsilon_{eq})e_{ij}, \tag{71}$$

where  $\sigma_m$  and  $\varepsilon_m$  are the first invariants of the stress and strain,  $s$  and  $e$  are the stress and strain deviators,

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_m &= \frac{1}{3} \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}), & \varepsilon_m &= \frac{1}{3} \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}), \\ s_{ij} &= \sigma_{ij} - \sigma_m \delta_{ij}, & e_{ij} &= \varepsilon_{ij} - \varepsilon_m \delta_{ij},\end{aligned}$$

$\sigma_{eq}$  and  $\varepsilon_{eq}$  are the Von Mises equivalent stress and equivalent strain

$$\sigma_{eq} = \left(\frac{2}{3} s_{ij} s_{ij}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \varepsilon_{eq} = \left(\frac{2}{3} e_{ij} e_{ij}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

and  $\mu_s$  is the secant shear modulus

$$\mu_s = \frac{\sigma_{eq}}{3\varepsilon_{eq}}.$$

The response of the phases is therefore assumed to be linear for purely hydrostatic loadings and nonlinear in shear. This assumption is made to simplify the developments but is by no means a restriction of the methods described below. The constitutive law (71) derives from the potential

$$\varphi(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) = \frac{1}{2} \kappa \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})^2 + f(\varepsilon_{eq}^2), \quad f(x) = \int_0^{x^{\frac{1}{2}}} 3\mu_s(e) e de \quad (72)$$

Note that

$$\mu_s(\varepsilon_{eq}) = \frac{2}{3} f'(\varepsilon_{eq}^2), \quad (73)$$

where  $f'$  denotes the derivative of  $f$  with respect to its scalar argument  $x$ .

## Secant formulation

The constitutive law (71) can be alternatively written in a compact form

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma} = \mathbb{L}_s(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) : \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}, \quad (74)$$

with

$$\mathbb{L}_s(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) = 3\kappa \mathbb{J} + 2\mu_s(\varepsilon_{eq}) \mathbb{K}, \quad (75)$$

$\mathbb{L}_s$  is the secant stiffness tenor. The relation (75) shows its decomposition on the two projectors  $\mathbb{J}$  and  $\mathbb{K}$  :

$$\left. \begin{aligned} J_{ijkl} &= \frac{1}{3} \delta_{ij} \delta_{kl}, & K_{ijkl} &= \frac{1}{2} (\delta_{ik} \delta_{jl} + \delta_{il} \delta_{jk}) - J_{ijkl}, \\ \mathbb{J} : \mathbb{J} &= \mathbb{J}, & \mathbb{K} : \mathbb{K} &= \mathbb{K}, & \mathbb{K} : \mathbb{J} &= \mathbb{O}, & \mathbb{J} + \mathbb{K} &= \mathbb{I}, \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (76)$$

where  $\mathbb{I}$  is the identity in the space of symmetric fourth order tensors.

## Power-law materials

We shall often make reference to the specific class of *incompressible power – law materials* for the purpose of comparing predictive schemes. The two potentials  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  read in this case

$$\varphi(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) = \frac{\sigma_0 \varepsilon_0}{m+1} \left(\frac{\varepsilon_{eq}}{\varepsilon_0}\right)^{m+1} \quad \text{when } \text{tr} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} = 0, \quad \varphi(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) = +\infty \quad \text{otherwise}, \quad (77)$$

and

$$\psi(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) = \frac{\sigma_0 \varepsilon_0}{n+1} \left( \frac{\sigma_{eq}}{\sigma_0} \right)^{n+1}. \quad (78)$$

The constitutive law reads

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma} = \sigma_m \mathbf{I} + s, \quad \frac{s}{\sigma_{eq}} = \frac{2}{3} \frac{\mathbf{e}}{\varepsilon_{eq}}, \quad \frac{\sigma_{eq}}{\sigma_0} = \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{eq}}{\varepsilon_0} \right)^m. \quad (79)$$

The exponents  $m$  and  $n$  are related by  $m = \frac{1}{n}$ .

- When  $m = n = 1$  the above potentials describe the behavior of a linear incompressible material with shear modulus  $\mu = \frac{\sigma_0}{3\varepsilon_0}$ .
- When  $m = 0, n = +\infty$ , the potentials describe the behavior of a rigid-plastic material with flow stress  $\sigma_0$ .

In most applications considered here the exponent  $m$  varies between 0 and 1. The secant tensor  $\mathbb{L}_s$  read

$$\mathbb{L}_s = +\infty \mathbb{J} + 2\mu_s(\varepsilon_{eq}) = \frac{1}{3} \frac{\sigma_0}{\varepsilon_0} m^{-1}, \quad (80)$$

and

$$\mathbb{L}_t = +\infty \mathbb{J} + 2\mu_t(\varepsilon_{eq})(\mathbb{F} + m\mathbb{E}), \quad \mu_t(\varepsilon_{eq}) = \mu_s(\varepsilon_{eq}), \quad \lambda = m\mu_t \quad (81)$$

**Remark 1:** A straightforward calculation shows that the tensor  $\frac{1}{m}\mathbb{L}_t$  can also be used as a secant tensor to define the constitutive law (79).

## Effective potentials

In the case of power-law materials the Reuss and Voigt bounds read

$$\frac{\sigma_0^R \varepsilon_0}{m+1} \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{eq}}{\varepsilon_0} \right)^{m+1} \leq \Phi(\mathbf{E}) \leq \frac{\sigma_0^V \varepsilon_0}{m+1} \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{eq}}{\varepsilon_0} \right)^{m+1},$$

$$\sigma_0^R = \langle \sigma_0^{-n} \rangle^{-m}, \quad \sigma_0^V = \langle \sigma_0 \rangle.$$

(In our case  $n = \frac{1}{m}$ , and these are bounds for our K from Ludwik's law.)  
When  $m = 0$  (rigid-plastic materials) the Reuss bound simplifies to

$$\sigma_o^R = \inf_{r=1, \dots, N} \sigma_0^{(r)}.$$

## Hashin-Shtrikman and Willis bounds

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \mathbb{L}^{HS-} &= 3k^{HS-} - \mathbb{J} + 2\mu^{HS-}\mathbb{K}, \\ k^{HS-} &= k^{(2)} + c^{(1)} \frac{k^{(1)} - k^{(2)}}{1 + c^{(2)} \frac{k^{(1)} - k^{(2)}}{k_p}}, \quad \mu^{HS-} = \mu^{(2)} + c^{(1)} \frac{\mu^{(1)} - \mu^{(2)}}{1 + c^{(2)} \frac{\mu^{(1)} - \mu^{(2)}}{\mu_p}}. \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (82)$$

## Classical secant method. Power-law materials

The composites considered in this section are two-phase power-law materials with overall isotropy. The individual constituents are governed by the relations (71). They have the same exponents  $n$  and  $m$  and the same strain  $\varepsilon_0$  but different flow stresses  $\sigma_0$ . The Hashin-Shtrikman bound (82) is used. Detail calculations are presented here for 3-dimensional isotropic composites. Most of the results are also valid for unidirectional composites (or can be easily extended).

The nonlinear problem

$$\left. \begin{aligned} E_{eq}^{(1)} &= a_{eq}^{(1)} E_{eq}, \quad E_{eq}^{(2)} = a_{eq}^{(2)} E_{eq}, \\ \mu^{(1)} &= \mu_s^{(1)} (E_{eq}^{(1)}), \quad \mu^{(2)} = \mu_s^{(2)} (E_{eq}^{(2)}), \\ \mu^{\text{hom}} &= \mu^{\text{hom}}(\mu^{(1)}, \mu^{(2)}, c^{(1)}). \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (83)$$

can be further simplified by using the homogeneity of degree  $m$  of the constitutive law and the explicit expression of the secant modulus  $\mu_s$  given in (ifj80). The nonlinear equations reduce to the scalar equation

$$\frac{E_{eq}^{(1)}}{E_{eq}^{(2)}} = \frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{\mu^{(1)}}{\mu^{(2)} - 1}\right)}, \quad \frac{\mu^{(1)}}{\mu^{(2)}} = \frac{\sigma_0^{(1)}}{\sigma_0^{(2)}} \left(\frac{E_{eq}^{(1)}}{E_{eq}^{(2)}}\right)^{m-1}, \quad (84)$$

where  $\beta = \frac{2}{2+d}$ . This equation can be rearranged into

$$(1 - \beta) \frac{E_{eq}^{(1)}}{E_{eq}^{(2)}} + \beta \frac{\sigma_0^{(1)}}{\sigma_0^{(2)}} \left(\frac{E_{eq}^{(1)}}{E_{eq}^{(2)}}\right)^m = 1. \quad (85)$$

## Rigid inclusions

When phase 1 is rigid, the nonlinear equation (84) and the average condition

$$c^{(1)} E_{eq}^{(1)} + c^{(2)} E_{eq}^{(2)} = E_{eq}$$

can be combined to show that

$$\lim_{\sigma_0^{(1)} \rightarrow +\infty} E_{eq}^{(1)} = 0, \quad \lim_{\sigma_0^{(1)} \rightarrow +\infty} E_{eq}^{(2)} = \frac{E_{eq}}{c^{(2)}}, \quad \lim_{\sigma_0^{(1)} \rightarrow +\infty} \sigma_0^{(1)} (E_{eq}^{(1)})^m = \frac{1}{\beta} \sigma_0^{(2)} (E_{eq}^{(2)})^m.$$

The deviator of the overall stress is

$$\mathbb{S} = c^{(1)} \mathbb{S}^{(1)} + c^{(2)} \mathbb{S}^{(2)},$$

where, using

$$\hat{\varepsilon} = \hat{\varepsilon}^{(1)} = \hat{\varepsilon}^{(2)}, \quad \hat{\varepsilon}^{(r)} = \frac{\varepsilon^{(r)}}{E_{eq}^{(r)}}, \quad \hat{\varepsilon} = \frac{\varepsilon}{E_{eq}} \quad (86)$$

$$\mathbb{S}^{(1)} = \lim_{\sigma_0^{(1)} \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{2}{3} \sigma_0^{(1)} \left( \frac{E_{eq}^{(1)}}{\varepsilon_0} \right)^m \hat{\varepsilon}, \quad \mathbb{S}^{(2)} = \frac{2}{3} \sigma_0^{(2)} \left( \frac{E_{eq}^{(2)}}{\varepsilon_0} \right)^m \hat{\varepsilon}.$$

Finally

$$\mathbb{S} = \frac{2}{3} \frac{\sigma_0^{\text{hom}}}{\varepsilon_0} \left( \frac{E_{eq}}{\varepsilon_0} \right)^{m-1} \varepsilon,$$

with

$$\sigma_0^{\text{hom}} = \sigma_0^{(2)} \frac{\left(1 + \frac{d}{2} c^{(1)}\right)}{c^{(2)m}}. \quad (87)$$

## Modified method. Two-phase power-law materials

### Nonlinear equation

In this section we apply the modified method to incompressible power-law materials with the same exponent. The nonlinear problem

$$\mathbb{L}^{(r)} = \mathbb{L}_s^{(r)}(\bar{\varepsilon}_{eq}^{(r)}), \quad \bar{\varepsilon}_{eq}^{(r)} = \left( \frac{1}{3c^{(r)}} \mathbf{E} : \frac{\partial \mathbb{L}^{\text{hom}}}{\partial \mu^{(r)}}(k, \mu) : \mathbf{E} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (88)$$

reduces to a scalar equation which takes the following form (except for porous materials where the problem is even simpler)

$$\frac{\bar{\varepsilon}_{eq}^{(1)}}{\bar{\varepsilon}_{eq}^{(2)}} = \left( \frac{c^{(2)}}{c^{(1)}} \frac{\partial \mu^{\text{hom}}}{\partial \mu^{(1)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \frac{\mu^{(1)}}{\mu^{(2)}} = \frac{\sigma_0^{(1)}}{\sigma_0^{(2)}} \left( \frac{\bar{\varepsilon}_{eq}^{(1)}}{\bar{\varepsilon}_{eq}^{(2)}} \right)^{m-1}. \quad (89)$$

When the effective shear modulus  $\mu^{\text{hom}}$  of the comparison solid is estimated by the Hashin-Shtrikman lower bound, this equation can be rearranged to give

$$\left( \frac{\bar{\varepsilon}_{eq}^{(1)}}{\bar{\varepsilon}_{eq}^{(2)}} \right)^2 = \left( 1 + \beta \left( \frac{\mu^{(1)}}{\mu^{(2)}} - 1 \right) \right)^2 + \beta(1 - \beta) c^{(1)} \left( \frac{\mu^{(1)}}{\mu^{(2)}} - 1 \right)^2. \quad (90)$$



**Remark 2:** The volume fractions of the phases enter the above nonlinear equation : the ratio between the average strain in the two phases predicted by the modified secant method depends on the volume fraction of the phases.

Equation (90) cannot be solved in a closed form, except when the phases are rigid-plastic ( $m = 0$ ) (see below) and is solved numerically.

## Rigid inclusions

When phase 1 is rigid  $\bar{\varepsilon}_{eq}^{(1)} = E_{eq}^{(1)} = 0$ . The energy equation

$$\mu^{\text{hom}} = c^{(1)} \mu^{(1)} \left( \frac{\bar{\varepsilon}_{eq}^{(1)}}{E_{eq}} \right)^2 + c^{(2)} \mu^{(2)} \left( \frac{\bar{\varepsilon}_{eq}^{(2)}}{E_{eq}} \right)^2,$$

and the nonlinear equation (89) show that

$$\lim_{\sigma_0^{(1)} \rightarrow +\infty} \bar{\varepsilon}_{eq}^{(2)} = \frac{\left(1 + \frac{1-\beta}{\beta} c^{(1)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{c^{(2)}} E_{eq}, \quad \lim_{\sigma_0^{(1)} \rightarrow +\infty} \sigma_0^{(1)} \bar{\varepsilon}_{eq}^{(1)m} = \frac{\sigma_0^{(2)} \bar{\varepsilon}_{eq}^{(2)m}}{\beta \left(1 + \frac{1-\beta}{\beta} c^{(1)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

Finally the effective constitutive law reads

$$\mathbb{S} = \frac{2}{3} \frac{\sigma_0^{\text{hom}}}{\varepsilon_0} \left( \frac{E_{eq}}{\varepsilon_0} \right)^{m-1} \varepsilon,$$

with

$$\sigma_0^{\text{hom}} = \sigma_0^{(2)} \frac{\left(1 + \frac{d}{2} c^{(1)}\right)^{\frac{m+1}{2}}}{c^{(2)m}}. \quad (91)$$

## Incremental method. Incompressible power-law materials

*Rigid inclusions.*

The overall flow stress is:

$$\sigma_0^{\text{hom}} = \sigma_0^{(2)} \frac{c^{(2)} + \frac{m+1}{2mC} c^{(1)}}{c^{(2)m}}. \quad (92)$$

## Variational procedures

### Nonlinear materials containing voids or rigid inclusions

The composites considered in this section consist of a nonlinear matrix with voids and (or) rigid inclusions.

$$\sigma_0^{\text{hom}} = \sigma_0^{(2)} \frac{\left(1 + \frac{d}{2} c^{(1)}\right)^{\frac{m+1}{2}}}{c^{(2)m}}.$$

### An incremental model based on the variational procedure

A simple incremental model which applies to metal matrix composites reinforced by *particles* can be proposed by neglecting the deformation of the particles. First recall the construction of constitutive laws such as

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \varepsilon(u) &= \varepsilon^e + \varepsilon^p, \quad \varepsilon^e = \mathbb{M}_0^{(2)} : \boldsymbol{\sigma}, \quad \varepsilon^p = \frac{3}{2} \dot{p} \frac{\boldsymbol{s}}{\sigma_{eq}}, \\ \dot{p} &= \frac{3}{2} \frac{1}{h(p)} (s : \dot{s})^+ \quad \text{when } \sigma_{eq} = \sigma_Y(p), \quad \dot{p} = 0 \quad \text{when } \sigma_{eq} < \sigma_Y(p). \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (93)$$

by two thermodynamic potentials. The model is defined by three state variables,  $\varepsilon$ ,  $\varepsilon^p$  and  $p$  in this specific situation and two thermodynamic potentials, the Helmholtz free energy  $\mathcal{H}$  and the dissipation potential  $\mathcal{D}$  of the material. The free energy is split into two terms, the elastic energy, recoverable by unloading the material, and the stored energy:

$$\mathcal{H}(\varepsilon, \varepsilon^p, p) = \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} (\varepsilon - \varepsilon^p) : \mathbb{L}^{(2)} : (\varepsilon - \varepsilon^p)}_{\text{Elastic energy}} + \underbrace{\varphi(p)}_{\text{Stored energy}}, \quad \varphi(p) = \int_0^p \sigma_Y(p) dp.$$

The thermodynamic forces are defined by the *state laws* as

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma} = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial \varepsilon^p} = \mathbb{L}_0^{(2)} : \varepsilon^e, \quad R = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial p} = -\sigma_Y(p), \quad (94)$$

The power dissipated in the irreversible processes taking place in the material is

$$\mathcal{D} = \boldsymbol{\sigma} : \varepsilon^p + R \dot{p}.$$

The evolution of the internal variables describing irreversible phenomena are given by the *complementary laws*:

*Yield funktion*

$$\mathcal{F}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}, R) = \sigma_{eq} + R,$$

*flow rule*

$$\dot{\varepsilon}^p = \dot{\lambda} \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}, R), \quad \dot{p} = \dot{\lambda} \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial R}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}, R).$$

The approximate model for the overall behavior of MMC's is also based on the description of dissipative constitutive laws by two overall potentials, the overall free energy of the composite and its dissipation potential. The approximations introduced to estimate these potentials are based on the observation that one can distinguish three regimes in the deformation of the composites:

1. *Elastic regime* : the plastic strains in the matrix are smaller than the elastic strains.
2. *Elastic – plastic regime* : the elastic and plastic strains in the matrix are of the same order.
3. *Plastic regime* : the elastic strains in the matrix and in the inclusions are smaller than the plastic strains in the matrix.

The elastic regime can be handled by any linear theory appropriate for the microstructure of the composite providing the overall stiffness  $\mathbb{L}^{\text{hom}}$  of the composite and, consequently, its overall elastic energy. The elastic-plastic regime is neglected in the present model. The plastic regime is handled by considering the particles as rigid inclusions in a plastic matrix with hardening. The overall stored energy of the composite is estimated by considering that the matrix is a nonlinear elastic material with a strain energy density  $\varphi(\varepsilon)$  (deformation theory of plasticity with elastic deformations neglected). When the composite has overall isotropy, the overall stored energy potential can be estimated by :

$$\Phi(P) = c^{(2)} \varphi \left( \left( \frac{\mu^{\text{hom}}}{c^{(2)} \mu_0} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} P \right), \quad (95)$$

where  $\mu^{\text{hom}}$  is the effective shear modulus of a linear composite made up of an incompressible matrix with shear modulus  $\mu^0$  containing rigid inclusions. The expression (95) makes use of the fact that the overall strain reduces to the overall plastic strain in the plastic regime  $\mathbf{E} \simeq \mathbf{E}^p$  and  $E_{eq}^p = P$ . The two terms entering the Helmholtz free energy of the composite, the elastic energy, and the stored energy are estimated

$$\mathcal{H}^{\text{hom}}(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{E}^p, P) = \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{E} - \mathbf{E}^p) : \mathbb{L}^{\text{hom}} : (\mathbf{E} - \mathbf{E}^p) + \Phi(P).$$

The state laws read

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma &= - \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}^{\text{hom}}}{\partial \mathbf{E}^p} = \mathbf{L}^{\text{hom}} : (\mathbf{E} - \mathbf{E}^p), \quad R^{\text{hom}} = - \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}^{\text{hom}}}{\partial P} = - \sigma_Y^{\text{hom}}(P), \\ \sigma_Y^{\text{hom}}(P) &= c^{(2)} C \sigma_Y(CP), \quad C = \left( \frac{\mu^{\text{hom}}}{c^{(2)} \mu_0} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (96)$$

The overall yield function  $\mathcal{F}^{\text{hom}}$  is the usual Von Mises criterion:

$$\mathcal{F}^{\text{hom}}(\Sigma, R^{\text{hom}}) = \Sigma_{eq} + R^{\text{hom}},$$

and the normality law at the overall scale reads

$$\dot{\Sigma}^p = \dot{\lambda} \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}^{\text{hom}}}{\partial \Sigma}(\Sigma, R^{\text{hom}}), \quad \dot{P} = \dot{\lambda} \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}^{\text{hom}}}{\partial R}(\Sigma, R^{\text{hom}}).$$

Finally, the proposed effective constitutive law of the composite is

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \mathbf{E} &= \mathbf{E}^e + \mathbf{E}^p, \quad \mathbf{E}^e = \mathbb{M}^{\text{hom}} : \Sigma, \\ \dot{\Sigma}^p &= \frac{3}{2} \dot{P} \frac{\Sigma}{\Sigma_{eq}} \quad \text{when} \quad \Sigma_{eq} = \sigma_Y^{\text{hom}}(P), \quad \dot{\Sigma}^p = 0 \quad \text{when} \quad \Sigma_{eq} < \sigma_Y^{\text{hom}}(P). \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (97)$$

This approximate model requires only one constant (C in(96)) which is deduced from a linear theory.

**Example 14** the above model was implemented for material with

$$\sigma_Y(p) = S_0 + Ap^\alpha.$$

The homogenized overall yield stress for the case of rigid particles in the incompressible matrix is:

$$\sigma_Y^{\text{hom}}(P) = \frac{5c^{(1)} + 2c^{(2)}}{2} \sigma_Y^{(2)} \left( \frac{5c^{(1)} + 2c^{(2)}}{2c^{(2)}} P \right).$$

## References

- [1] G.Allaire, *Homogenization and Two-Scale Convergence*, SIAM J. Math. Anal., Vol.23, No.6, 1482-1518, 1992.
- [2] N.Bakhvalov and G.Panasenko, *Homogenization: Averaging Processes in Periodic Media. Mathematical Problems in the Mechanics of Composite Materials*, Kluwer Academic Publishers Dordrecht/Boston/London, 1984.
- [3] A. Braides, A. Defranceschi, *Homogenization of multiple integrals*, Clarendon Press - Oxford, 1998.
- [4] U.Hornung, *Homogenization and Porous Media*, Springer, 1997.
- [Lipton, 2006] R. P. Lipton *Homogenization and field concentrations in heterogeneous media*, to appear in SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis, 2006
- [5] P. Suquet, *CISM: Courses and lectures*, No. 377, SpringerWienNewYort
- [6] R.M.Christensen, *Mechanics of Composite Materials*, A Wiley-Interscience Publication John Wiley & Sons, New York/Chichester/Brisbane/Toronto, 1979.
- [7] M.L. Williams, *Stress singularities resulting from various boundary conditions in angular corners of plates in extension*, J. Appl. Math. 19 (1952) 4, 526-528.
- [Orlik, 2000] Orlik, J., *Transmission and homogenization in hereditary viscoelasticity with ageing and shrinkage*. PhD-Thesis Shaker Verlag, 2000
- [Orlik, 2005] Orlik, J. Homogenization of strength, fatigue and creep durability of composites with near periodic structure, *Mathematical Models and Methods in Applied Sciences*, Vol. 15, No. 9(15), pp. 1329-1347, 2005

# Published reports of the Fraunhofer ITWM

The PDF-files of the following reports are available under:

[www.itwm.fraunhofer.de/de/zentral\\_\\_berichte/berichte](http://www.itwm.fraunhofer.de/de/zentral__berichte/berichte)

1. D. Hietel, K. Steiner, J. Struckmeier  
**A Finite - Volume Particle Method for Compressible Flows**  
(19 pages, 1998)
2. M. Feldmann, S. Seibold  
**Damage Diagnosis of Rotors: Application of Hilbert Transform and Multi-Hypothesis Testing**  
Keywords: Hilbert transform, damage diagnosis, Kalman filtering, non-linear dynamics  
(23 pages, 1998)
3. Y. Ben-Haim, S. Seibold  
**Robust Reliability of Diagnostic Multi-Hypothesis Algorithms: Application to Rotating Machinery**  
Keywords: Robust reliability, convex models, Kalman filtering, multi-hypothesis diagnosis, rotating machinery, crack diagnosis  
(24 pages, 1998)
4. F.-Th. Lentens, N. Siedow  
**Three-dimensional Radiative Heat Transfer in Glass Cooling Processes**  
(23 pages, 1998)
5. A. Klar, R. Wegener  
**A hierarchy of models for multilane vehicular traffic**  
**Part I: Modeling**  
(23 pages, 1998)  
**Part II: Numerical and stochastic investigations**  
(17 pages, 1998)
6. A. Klar, N. Siedow  
**Boundary Layers and Domain Decomposition for Radiative Heat Transfer and Diffusion Equations: Applications to Glass Manufacturing Processes**  
(24 pages, 1998)
7. I. Choquet  
**Heterogeneous catalysis modelling and numerical simulation in rarified gas flows**  
**Part I: Coverage locally at equilibrium**  
(24 pages, 1998)
8. J. Ohser, B. Steinbach, C. Lang  
**Efficient Texture Analysis of Binary Images**  
(17 pages, 1998)
9. J. Orlik  
**Homogenization for viscoelasticity of the integral type with aging and shrinkage**  
(20 pages, 1998)
10. J. Mohring  
**Helmholtz Resonators with Large Aperture**  
(21 pages, 1998)
11. H. W. Hamacher, A. Schöbel  
**On Center Cycles in Grid Graphs**  
(15 pages, 1998)
12. H. W. Hamacher, K.-H. Küfer  
**Inverse radiation therapy planning - a multiple objective optimisation approach**  
(14 pages, 1999)
13. C. Lang, J. Ohser, R. Hilfer  
**On the Analysis of Spatial Binary Images**  
(20 pages, 1999)
14. M. Junk  
**On the Construction of Discrete Equilibrium Distributions for Kinetic Schemes**  
(24 pages, 1999)
15. M. Junk, S. V. Raghurame Rao  
**A new discrete velocity method for Navier-Stokes equations**  
(20 pages, 1999)
16. H. Neunzert  
**Mathematics as a Key to Key Technologies**  
(39 pages (4 PDF-Files), 1999)
17. J. Ohser, K. Sandau  
**Considerations about the Estimation of the Size Distribution in Wicksell's Corpuscle Problem**  
(18 pages, 1999)
18. E. Carrizosa, H. W. Hamacher, R. Klein, S. Nickel  
**Solving nonconvex planar location problems by finite dominating sets**  
Keywords: Continuous Location, Polyhedral Gauges, Finite Dominating Sets, Approximation, Sandwich Algorithm, Greedy Algorithm  
(19 pages, 2000)
19. A. Becker  
**A Review on Image Distortion Measures**  
Keywords: Distortion measure, human visual system  
(26 pages, 2000)
20. H. W. Hamacher, M. Labbé, S. Nickel, T. Sonneborn  
**Polyhedral Properties of the Uncapacitated Multiple Allocation Hub Location Problem**  
Keywords: integer programming, hub location, facility location, valid inequalities, facets, branch and cut  
(21 pages, 2000)
21. H. W. Hamacher, A. Schöbel  
**Design of Zone Tariff Systems in Public Transportation**  
(30 pages, 2001)
22. D. Hietel, M. Junk, R. Keck, D. Teleaga  
**The Finite-Volume-Particle Method for Conservation Laws**  
(16 pages, 2001)
23. T. Bender, H. Hennes, J. Kalcsics, M. T. Melo, S. Nickel  
**Location Software and Interface with GIS and Supply Chain Management**  
Keywords: facility location, software development, geographical information systems, supply chain management  
(48 pages, 2001)
24. H. W. Hamacher, S. A. Tjandra  
**Mathematical Modelling of Evacuation Problems: A State of Art**  
(44 pages, 2001)
25. J. Kuhnert, S. Tiwari  
**Grid free method for solving the Poisson equation**  
Keywords: Poisson equation, Least squares method, Grid free method  
(19 pages, 2001)
26. T. Götz, H. Rave, D. Reinel-Bitzer, K. Steiner, H. Tiemeier  
**Simulation of the fiber spinning process**  
Keywords: Melt spinning, fiber model, Lattice Boltzmann, CFD  
(19 pages, 2001)
27. A. Zemitis  
**On interaction of a liquid film with an obstacle**  
Keywords: impinging jets, liquid film, models, numerical solution, shape  
(22 pages, 2001)
28. I. Ginzburg, K. Steiner  
**Free surface lattice-Boltzmann method to model the filling of expanding cavities by Bingham Fluids**  
Keywords: Generalized LBE, free-surface phenomena, interface boundary conditions, filling processes, Bingham viscoplastic model, regularized models  
(22 pages, 2001)
29. H. Neunzert  
**»Denn nichts ist für den Menschen als Menschen etwas wert, was er nicht mit Leidenschaft tun kann«**  
**Vortrag anlässlich der Verleihung des Akademiepreises des Landes Rheinland-Pfalz am 21.11.2001**  
Keywords: Lehre, Forschung, angewandte Mathematik, Mehrskalalanalyse, Strömungsmechanik  
(18 pages, 2001)
30. J. Kuhnert, S. Tiwari  
**Finite pointset method based on the projection method for simulations of the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations**  
Keywords: Incompressible Navier-Stokes equations, Meshfree method, Projection method, Particle scheme, Least squares approximation  
AMS subject classification: 76D05, 76M28  
(25 pages, 2001)
31. R. Korn, M. Krekel  
**Optimal Portfolios with Fixed Consumption or Income Streams**  
Keywords: Portfolio optimisation, stochastic control, HJB equation, discretisation of control problems  
(23 pages, 2002)
32. M. Krekel  
**Optimal portfolios with a loan dependent credit spread**  
Keywords: Portfolio optimisation, stochastic control, HJB equation, credit spread, log utility, power utility, non-linear wealth dynamics  
(25 pages, 2002)
33. J. Ohser, W. Nagel, K. Schladitz  
**The Euler number of discretized sets – on the choice of adjacency in homogeneous lattices**  
Keywords: image analysis, Euler number, neighborhood relationships, cuboidal lattice  
(32 pages, 2002)

34. I. Ginzburg, K. Steiner  
**Lattice Boltzmann Model for Free-Surface flow and Its Application to Filling Process in Casting**  
Keywords: Lattice Boltzmann models; free-surface phenomena; interface boundary conditions; filling processes; injection molding; volume of fluid method; interface boundary conditions; advection-schemes; up-wind-schemes (54 pages, 2002)
35. M. Günther, A. Klar, T. Materne, R. Wegener  
**Multivalued fundamental diagrams and stop and go waves for continuum traffic equations**  
Keywords: traffic flow, macroscopic equations, kinetic derivation, multivalued fundamental diagram, stop and go waves, phase transitions (25 pages, 2002)
36. S. Feldmann, P. Lang, D. Prätzel-Wolters  
**Parameter influence on the zeros of network determinants**  
Keywords: Networks, Equicofactor matrix polynomials, Realization theory, Matrix perturbation theory (30 pages, 2002)
37. K. Koch, J. Ohser, K. Schladitz  
**Spectral theory for random closed sets and estimating the covariance via frequency space**  
Keywords: Random set, Bartlett spectrum, fast Fourier transform, power spectrum (28 pages, 2002)
38. D. d'Humières, I. Ginzburg  
**Multi-reflection boundary conditions for lattice Boltzmann models**  
Keywords: lattice Boltzmann equation, boundary conditions, bounce-back rule, Navier-Stokes equation (72 pages, 2002)
39. R. Korn  
**Elementare Finanzmathematik**  
Keywords: Finanzmathematik, Aktien, Optionen, Portfolio-Optimierung, Börse, Lehrerweiterbildung, Mathematikunterricht (98 pages, 2002)
40. J. Kallrath, M. C. Müller, S. Nickel  
**Batch Presorting Problems: Models and Complexity Results**  
Keywords: Complexity theory, Integer programming, Assignment, Logistics (19 pages, 2002)
41. J. Linn  
**On the frame-invariant description of the phase space of the Folgar-Tucker equation**  
Key words: fiber orientation, Folgar-Tucker equation, injection molding (5 pages, 2003)
42. T. Hanne, S. Nickel  
**A Multi-Objective Evolutionary Algorithm for Scheduling and Inspection Planning in Software Development Projects**  
Key words: multiple objective programming, project management and scheduling, software development, evolutionary algorithms, efficient set (29 pages, 2003)
43. T. Bortfeld, K.-H. Küfer, M. Monz, A. Scherrer, C. Thieke, H. Trinkaus  
**Intensity-Modulated Radiotherapy - A Large Scale Multi-Criteria Programming Problem**  
Keywords: multiple criteria optimization, representative systems of Pareto solutions, adaptive triangulation, clustering and disaggregation techniques, visualization of Pareto solutions, medical physics, external beam radiotherapy planning, intensity modulated radiotherapy (31 pages, 2003)
44. T. Halfmann, T. Wichmann  
**Overview of Symbolic Methods in Industrial Analog Circuit Design**  
Keywords: CAD, automated analog circuit design, symbolic analysis, computer algebra, behavioral modeling, system simulation, circuit sizing, macro modeling, differential-algebraic equations, index (17 pages, 2003)
45. S. E. Mikhailov, J. Orlik  
**Asymptotic Homogenisation in Strength and Fatigue Durability Analysis of Composites**  
Keywords: multiscale structures, asymptotic homogenization, strength, fatigue, singularity, non-local conditions (14 pages, 2003)
46. P. Domínguez-Marín, P. Hansen, N. Mladenović, S. Nickel  
**Heuristic Procedures for Solving the Discrete Ordered Median Problem**  
Keywords: genetic algorithms, variable neighborhood search, discrete facility location (31 pages, 2003)
47. N. Boland, P. Domínguez-Marín, S. Nickel, J. Puerto  
**Exact Procedures for Solving the Discrete Ordered Median Problem**  
Keywords: discrete location, Integer programming (41 pages, 2003)
48. S. Feldmann, P. Lang  
**Padé-like reduction of stable discrete linear systems preserving their stability**  
Keywords: Discrete linear systems, model reduction, stability, Hankel matrix, Stein equation (16 pages, 2003)
49. J. Kallrath, S. Nickel  
**A Polynomial Case of the Batch Presorting Problem**  
Keywords: batch presorting problem, online optimization, competitive analysis, polynomial algorithms, logistics (17 pages, 2003)
50. T. Hanne, H. L. Trinkaus  
**knowCube for MCDM – Visual and Interactive Support for Multicriteria Decision Making**  
Key words: Multicriteria decision making, knowledge management, decision support systems, visual interfaces, interactive navigation, real-life applications. (26 pages, 2003)
51. O. Iliev, V. Laptev  
**On Numerical Simulation of Flow Through Oil Filters**  
Keywords: oil filters, coupled flow in plain and porous media, Navier-Stokes, Brinkman, numerical simulation (8 pages, 2003)
52. W. Dörfler, O. Iliev, D. Stoyanov, D. Vassileva  
**On a Multigrid Adaptive Refinement Solver for Saturated Non-Newtonian Flow in Porous Media**  
Keywords: Nonlinear multigrid, adaptive refinement, non-Newtonian flow in porous media (17 pages, 2003)
53. S. Kruse  
**On the Pricing of Forward Starting Options under Stochastic Volatility**  
Keywords: Option pricing, forward starting options, Heston model, stochastic volatility, cliquet options (11 pages, 2003)
54. O. Iliev, D. Stoyanov  
**Multigrid – adaptive local refinement solver for incompressible flows**  
Keywords: Navier-Stokes equations, incompressible flow, projection-type splitting, SIMPLE, multigrid methods, adaptive local refinement, lid-driven flow in a cavity (37 pages, 2003)
55. V. Starikovicus  
**The multiphase flow and heat transfer in porous media**  
Keywords: Two-phase flow in porous media, various formulations, global pressure, multiphase mixture model, numerical simulation (30 pages, 2003)
56. P. Lang, A. Sarishvili, A. Wirsen  
**Blocked neural networks for knowledge extraction in the software development process**  
Keywords: Blocked Neural Networks, Nonlinear Regression, Knowledge Extraction, Code Inspection (21 pages, 2003)
57. H. Knaf, P. Lang, S. Zeiser  
**Diagnosis aiding in Regulation Thermography using Fuzzy Logic**  
Keywords: fuzzy logic, knowledge representation, expert system (22 pages, 2003)
58. M. T. Melo, S. Nickel, F. Saldanha da Gama  
**Largescale models for dynamic multi-commodity capacitated facility location**  
Keywords: supply chain management, strategic planning, dynamic location, modeling (40 pages, 2003)
59. J. Orlik  
**Homogenization for contact problems with periodically rough surfaces**  
Keywords: asymptotic homogenization, contact problems (28 pages, 2004)
60. A. Scherrer, K.-H. Küfer, M. Monz, F. Alonso, T. Bortfeld  
**IMRT planning on adaptive volume structures – a significant advance of computational complexity**  
Keywords: Intensity-modulated radiation therapy (IMRT), inverse treatment planning, adaptive volume structures, hierarchical clustering, local refinement, adaptive clustering, convex programming, mesh generation, multi-grid methods (24 pages, 2004)
61. D. Kehrwald  
**Parallel lattice Boltzmann simulation of complex flows**  
Keywords: Lattice Boltzmann methods, parallel computing, microstructure simulation, virtual material design, pseudo-plastic fluids, liquid composite moulding (12 pages, 2004)
62. O. Iliev, J. Linn, M. Moog, D. Niedziela, V. Starikovicus  
**On the Performance of Certain Iterative Solvers for Coupled Systems Arising in Discretization of Non-Newtonian Flow Equations**  
Keywords: Performance of iterative solvers, Preconditioners, Non-Newtonian flow (17 pages, 2004)
63. R. Ciegis, O. Iliev, S. Rief, K. Steiner  
**On Modelling and Simulation of Different Regimes for Liquid Polymer Moulding**  
Keywords: Liquid Polymer Moulding, Modelling, Simulation, Infiltration, Front Propagation, non-Newtonian flow in porous media (43 pages, 2004)



64. T. Hanne, H. Neu  
**Simulating Human Resources in Software Development Processes**  
Keywords: Human resource modeling, software process, productivity, human factors, learning curve (14 pages, 2004)
65. O. Iliev, A. Mikelic, P. Popov  
**Fluid structure interaction problems in deformable porous media: Toward permeability of deformable porous media**  
Keywords: fluid-structure interaction, deformable porous media, upscaling, linear elasticity, stokes, finite elements (28 pages, 2004)
66. F. Gaspar, O. Iliev, F. Lisbona, A. Naumovich, P. Vabishchevich  
**On numerical solution of 1-D poroelasticity equations in a multilayered domain**  
Keywords: poroelasticity, multilayered material, finite volume discretization, MAC type grid (41 pages, 2004)
67. J. Ohser, K. Schladitz, K. Koch, M. Nöthe  
**Diffraction by image processing and its application in materials science**  
Keywords: porous microstructure, image analysis, random set, fast Fourier transform, power spectrum, Bartlett spectrum (13 pages, 2004)
68. H. Neunzert  
**Mathematics as a Technology: Challenges for the next 10 Years**  
Keywords: applied mathematics, technology, modelling, simulation, visualization, optimization, glass processing, spinning processes, fiber-fluid interaction, turbulence effects, topological optimization, multicriteria optimization, Uncertainty and Risk, financial mathematics, Malliavin calculus, Monte-Carlo methods, virtual material design, filtration, bio-informatics, system biology (29 pages, 2004)
69. R. Ewing, O. Iliev, R. Lazarov, A. Naumovich  
**On convergence of certain finite difference discretizations for 1D poroelasticity interface problems**  
Keywords: poroelasticity, multilayered material, finite volume discretizations, MAC type grid, error estimates (26 pages, 2004)
70. W. Dörfler, O. Iliev, D. Stoyanov, D. Vassileva  
**On Efficient Simulation of Non-Newtonian Flow in Saturated Porous Media with a Multigrid Adaptive Refinement Solver**  
Keywords: Nonlinear multigrid, adaptive refinement, non-Newtonian in porous media (25 pages, 2004)
71. J. Kalcsics, S. Nickel, M. Schröder  
**Towards a Unified Territory Design Approach – Applications, Algorithms and GIS Integration**  
Keywords: territory design, political districting, sales territory alignment, optimization algorithms, Geographical Information Systems (40 pages, 2005)
72. K. Schladitz, S. Peters, D. Reinelt-Bitzer, A. Wiegmann, J. Ohser  
**Design of acoustic trim based on geometric modeling and flow simulation for non-woven**  
Keywords: random system of fibers, Poisson line process, flow resistivity, acoustic absorption, Lattice-Boltzmann method, non-woven (21 pages, 2005)
73. V. Rutka, A. Wiegmann  
**Explicit Jump Immersed Interface Method for virtual material design of the effective elastic moduli of composite materials**  
Keywords: virtual material design, explicit jump immersed interface method, effective elastic moduli, composite materials (22 pages, 2005)
74. T. Hanne  
**Eine Übersicht zum Scheduling von Baustellen**  
Keywords: Projektplanung, Scheduling, Bauplanung, Bauindustrie (32 pages, 2005)
75. J. Linn  
**The Folgar-Tucker Model as a Differential Algebraic System for Fiber Orientation Calculation**  
Keywords: fiber orientation, Folgar-Tucker model, invariants, algebraic constraints, phase space, trace stability (15 pages, 2005)
76. M. Speckert, K. Dreßler, H. Mauch, A. Lion, G. J. Wierda  
**Simulation eines neuartigen Prüfsystems für Achserprobungen durch MKS-Modellierung einschließlich Regelung**  
Keywords: virtual test rig, suspension testing, multibody simulation, modeling hexapod test rig, optimization of test rig configuration (20 pages, 2005)
77. K.-H. Küfer, M. Monz, A. Scherrer, P. Süß, F. Alonso, A. S. A. Sultan, Th. Bortfeld, D. Craft, Chr. Thieke  
**Multicriteria optimization in intensity modulated radiotherapy planning**  
Keywords: multicriteria optimization, extreme solutions, real-time decision making, adaptive approximation schemes, clustering methods, IMRT planning, reverse engineering (51 pages, 2005)
78. S. Amstutz, H. Andrä  
**A new algorithm for topology optimization using a level-set method**  
Keywords: shape optimization, topology optimization, topological sensitivity, level-set (22 pages, 2005)
79. N. Ettrich  
**Generation of surface elevation models for urban drainage simulation**  
Keywords: Flooding, simulation, urban elevation models, laser scanning (22 pages, 2005)
80. H. Andrä, J. Linn, I. Matei, I. Shklyar, K. Steiner, E. Teichmann  
**OPTCAST – Entwicklung adäquater Strukturoptimierungsverfahren für Gießereien Technischer Bericht (KURZFASSUNG)**  
Keywords: Topologieoptimierung, Level-Set-Methode, Gießprozesssimulation, Gießtechnische Restriktionen, CAE-Kette zur Strukturoptimierung (77 pages, 2005)
81. N. Marheineke, R. Wegener  
**Fiber Dynamics in Turbulent Flows Part I: General Modeling Framework**  
Keywords: fiber-fluid interaction; Cosserat rod; turbulence modeling; Kolmogorov's energy spectrum; double-velocity correlations; differentiable Gaussian fields (20 pages, 2005)
- Part II: Specific Taylor Drag**  
Keywords: flexible fibers;  $k-\epsilon$  turbulence model; fiber-turbulence interaction scales; air drag; random Gaussian aerodynamic force; white noise; stochastic differential equations; ARMA process (18 pages, 2005)
82. C. H. Lampert, O. Wirjadi  
**An Optimal Non-Orthogonal Separation of the Anisotropic Gaussian Convolution Filter**  
Keywords: Anisotropic Gaussian filter, linear filtering, orientation space, nD image processing, separable filters (25 pages, 2005)
83. H. Andrä, D. Stoyanov  
**Error indicators in the parallel finite element solver for linear elasticity DDFEM**  
Keywords: linear elasticity, finite element method, hierarchical shape functions, domain decomposition, parallel implementation, a posteriori error estimates (21 pages, 2006)
84. M. Schröder, I. Solchenbach  
**Optimization of Transfer Quality in Regional Public Transit**  
Keywords: public transit, transfer quality, quadratic assignment problem (16 pages, 2006)
85. A. Naumovich, F. J. Gaspar  
**On a multigrid solver for the three-dimensional Biot poroelasticity system in multilayered domains**  
Keywords: poroelasticity, interface problem, multigrid, operator-dependent prolongation (11 pages, 2006)
86. S. Panda, R. Wegener, N. Marheineke  
**Slender Body Theory for the Dynamics of Curved Viscous Fibers**  
Keywords: curved viscous fibers; fluid dynamics; Navier-Stokes equations; free boundary value problem; asymptotic expansions; slender body theory (14 pages, 2006)
87. E. Ivanov, H. Andrä, A. Kudryavtsev  
**Domain Decomposition Approach for Automatic Parallel Generation of Tetrahedral Grids**  
Key words: Grid Generation, Unstructured Grid, Delaunay Triangulation, Parallel Programming, Domain Decomposition, Load Balancing (18 pages, 2006)
88. S. Tiwari, S. Antonov, D. Hietel, J. Kuhnert, R. Wegener  
**A Meshfree Method for Simulations of Interactions between Fluids and Flexible Structures**  
Key words: Meshfree Method, FPM, Fluid Structure Interaction, Sheet of Paper, Dynamical Coupling (16 pages, 2006)
89. R. Ciegis, O. Iliev, V. Starikovicius, K. Steiner  
**Numerical Algorithms for Solving Problems of Multiphase Flows in Porous Media**  
Keywords: nonlinear algorithms, finite-volume method, software tools, porous media, flows (16 pages, 2006)
90. D. Niedziela, O. Iliev, A. Latz  
**On 3D Numerical Simulations of Viscoelastic Fluids**  
Keywords: non-Newtonian fluids, anisotropic viscosity, integral constitutive equation (18 pages, 2006)

91. A. Winterfeld  
**Application of general semi-infinite Programming to Lapidary Cutting Problems**  
Keywords: large scale optimization, nonlinear programming, general semi-infinite optimization, design centering, clustering  
(26 pages, 2006)
92. J. Orlik, A. Ostrovska  
**Space-Time Finite Element Approximation and Numerical Solution of Hereditary Linear Viscoelasticity Problems**  
Keywords: hereditary viscoelasticity; kern approximation by interpolation; space-time finite element approximation, stability and a priori estimate  
(24 pages, 2006)
93. V. Rutka, A. Wiegmann, H. Andrä  
**EJIM for Calculation of effective Elastic Moduli in 3D Linear Elasticity**  
Keywords: Elliptic PDE, linear elasticity, irregular domain, finite differences, fast solvers, effective elastic moduli  
(24 pages, 2006)
94. A. Wiegmann, A. Zemitis  
**EJ-HEAT: A Fast Explicit Jump Harmonic Averaging Solver for the Effective Heat Conductivity of Composite Materials**  
Keywords: Stationary heat equation, effective thermal conductivity, explicit jump, discontinuous coefficients, virtual material design, microstructure simulation, EJ-HEAT  
(21 pages, 2006)
95. A. Naumovich  
**On a finite volume discretization of the three-dimensional Biot poroelasticity system in multilayered domains**  
Keywords: Biot poroelasticity system, interface problems, finite volume discretization, finite difference method  
(21 pages, 2006)
96. M. Krekel, J. Wenzel  
**A unified approach to Credit Default Swap-tion and Constant Maturity Credit Default Swap valuation**  
Keywords: LIBOR market model, credit risk, Credit Default Swaption, Constant Maturity Credit Default Swap-method  
(43 pages, 2006)
97. A. Dreyer  
**Interval Methods for Analog Circuits**  
Keywords: interval arithmetic, analog circuits, tolerance analysis, parametric linear systems, frequency response, symbolic analysis, CAD, computer algebra  
(36 pages, 2006)
98. N. Weigel, S. Weihe, G. Bitsch, K. Dreßler  
**Usage of Simulation for Design and Optimization of Testing**  
Keywords: Vehicle test rigs, MBS, control, hydraulics, testing philosophy  
(14 pages, 2006)
99. H. Lang, G. Bitsch, K. Dreßler, M. Speckert  
**Comparison of the solutions of the elastic and elastoplastic boundary value problems**  
Keywords: Elastic BVP, elastoplastic BVP, variational inequalities, rate-independency, hysteresis, linear kinematic hardening, stop- and play-operator  
(21 pages, 2006)
100. M. Speckert, K. Dreßler, H. Mauch  
**MBS Simulation of a hexapod based suspension test rig**  
Keywords: Test rig, MBS simulation, suspension, hydraulics, controlling, design optimization  
(12 pages, 2006)
101. S. Azizi Sultan, K.-H. Küfer  
**A dynamic algorithm for beam orientations in multicriteria IMRT planning**  
Keywords: radiotherapy planning, beam orientation optimization, dynamic approach, evolutionary algorithm, global optimization  
(14 pages, 2006)
102. T. Götz, A. Klar, N. Marheineke, R. Wegener  
**A Stochastic Model for the Fiber Lay-down Process in the Nonwoven Production**  
Keywords: fiber dynamics, stochastic Hamiltonian system, stochastic averaging  
(17 pages, 2006)
103. Ph. Süß, K.-H. Küfer  
**Balancing control and simplicity: a variable aggregation method in intensity modulated radiation therapy planning**  
Keywords: IMRT planning, variable aggregation, clustering methods  
(22 pages, 2006)
104. A. Beaudry, G. Laporte, T. Melo, S. Nickel  
**Dynamic transportation of patients in hospitals**  
Keywords: in-house hospital transportation, dial-a-ride, dynamic mode, tabu search  
(37 pages, 2006)
105. Th. Hanne  
**Applying multiobjective evolutionary algorithms in industrial projects**  
Keywords: multiobjective evolutionary algorithms, discrete optimization, continuous optimization, electronic circuit design, semi-infinite programming, scheduling  
(18 pages, 2006)
106. J. Franke, S. Halim  
**Wild bootstrap tests for comparing signals and images**  
Keywords: wild bootstrap test, texture classification, textile quality control, defect detection, kernel estimate, nonparametric regression  
(13 pages, 2007)
107. Z. Drezner, S. Nickel  
**Solving the ordered one-median problem in the plane**  
Keywords: planar location, global optimization, ordered median, big triangle small triangle method, bounds, numerical experiments  
(21 pages, 2007)
108. Th. Götz, A. Klar, A. Unterreiter, R. Wegener  
**Numerical evidence for the non-existing of solutions of the equations describing rotational fiber spinning**  
Keywords: rotational fiber spinning, viscous fibers, boundary value problem, existence of solutions  
(11 pages, 2007)
109. Ph. Süß, K.-H. Küfer  
**Smooth intensity maps and the Bortfeld-Boyer sequencer**  
Keywords: probabilistic analysis, intensity modulated radiotherapy treatment (IMRT), IMRT plan application, step-and-shoot sequencing  
(8 pages, 2007)
110. E. Ivanov, O. Gluchshenko, H. Andrä, A. Kudryavtsev  
**Parallel software tool for decomposing and meshing of 3d structures**  
Keywords: a-priori domain decomposition, unstructured grid, Delaunay mesh generation  
(14 pages, 2007)
111. O. Iliev, R. Lazarov, J. Willems  
**Numerical study of two-grid preconditioners for 1d elliptic problems with highly oscillating discontinuous coefficients**  
Keywords: two-grid algorithm, oscillating coefficients, preconditioner  
(20 pages, 2007)
112. L. Bonilla, T. Götz, A. Klar, N. Marheineke, R. Wegener  
**Hydrodynamic limit of the Fokker-Planck equation describing fiber lay-down processes**  
Keywords: stochastic differential equations, Fokker-Planck equation, asymptotic expansion, Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process  
(17 pages, 2007)
113. S. Rief  
**Modeling and simulation of the pressing section of a paper machine**  
Keywords: paper machine, computational fluid dynamics, porous media  
(41 pages, 2007)
114. R. Ciegis, O. Iliev, Z. Lakdawala  
**On parallel numerical algorithms for simulating industrial filtration problems**  
Keywords: Navier-Stokes-Brinkmann equations, finite volume discretization method, SIMPLE, parallel computing, data decomposition method  
(24 pages, 2007)
115. N. Marheineke, R. Wegener  
**Dynamics of curved viscous fibers with surface tension**  
Keywords: Slender body theory, curved viscous bers with surface tension, free boundary value problem  
(25 pages, 2007)
116. S. Feth, J. Franke, M. Speckert  
**Resampling-Methoden zur mse-Korrektur und Anwendungen in der Betriebsfestigkeit**  
Keywords: Weibull, Bootstrap, Maximum-Likelihood, Betriebsfestigkeit  
(16 pages, 2007)
117. H. Knaf  
**Kernel Fisher discriminant functions – a concise and rigorous introduction**  
Keywords: wild bootstrap test, texture classification, textile quality control, defect detection, kernel estimate, nonparametric regression  
(30 pages, 2007)
118. O. Iliev, I. Rybak  
**On numerical upscaling for flows in heterogeneous porous media**  
Keywords: numerical upscaling, heterogeneous porous media, single phase flow, Darcy's law, multiscale problem, effective permeability, multipoint flux approximation, anisotropy  
(17 pages, 2007)
119. O. Iliev, I. Rybak  
**On approximation property of multipoint flux approximation method**  
Keywords: Multipoint flux approximation, finite volume method, elliptic equation, discontinuous tensor coefficients, anisotropy  
(15 pages, 2007)
120. O. Iliev, I. Rybak, J. Willems  
**On upscaling heat conductivity for a class of industrial problems**  
Keywords: Multiscale problems, effective heat conductivity, numerical upscaling, domain decomposition  
(21 pages, 2007)



121. R. Ewing, O. Iliev, R. Lazarov, I. Rybak  
**On two-level preconditioners for flow in porous media**  
Keywords: Multiscale problem, Darcy's law, single phase flow, anisotropic heterogeneous porous media, numerical upscaling, multigrid, domain decomposition, efficient preconditioner  
(18 pages, 2007)
122. M. Brickenstein, A. Dreyer  
**POLYBORI: A Gröbner basis framework for Boolean polynomials**  
Keywords: Gröbner basis, formal verification, Boolean polynomials, algebraic cryptanalysis, satisfiability  
(23 pages, 2007)
123. O. Wirjadi  
**Survey of 3d image segmentation methods**  
Keywords: image processing, 3d, image segmentation, binarization  
(20 pages, 2007)
124. S. Zeytun, A. Gupta  
**A Comparative Study of the Vasicek and the CIR Model of the Short Rate**  
Keywords: interest rates, Vasicek model, CIR-model, calibration, parameter estimation  
(17 pages, 2007)
125. G. Hanselmann, A. Sarishvili  
**Heterogeneous redundancy in software quality prediction using a hybrid Bayesian approach**  
Keywords: reliability prediction, fault prediction, non-homogeneous poisson process, Bayesian model averaging  
(17 pages, 2007)
126. V. Maag, M. Berger, A. Winterfeld, K.-H. Küfer  
**A novel non-linear approach to minimal area rectangular packing**  
Keywords: rectangular packing, non-overlapping constraints, non-linear optimization, regularization, relaxation  
(18 pages, 2007)
127. M. Monz, K.-H. Küfer, T. Bortfeld, C. Thieke  
**Pareto navigation – systematic multi-criteria-based IMRT treatment plan determination**  
Keywords: convex, interactive multi-objective optimization, intensity modulated radiotherapy planning  
(15 pages, 2007)
128. M. Krause, A. Scherrer  
**On the role of modeling parameters in IMRT plan optimization**  
Keywords: intensity-modulated radiotherapy (IMRT), inverse IMRT planning, convex optimization, sensitivity analysis, elasticity, modeling parameters, equivalent uniform dose (EUD)  
(18 pages, 2007)
129. A. Wiegmann  
**Computation of the permeability of porous materials from their microstructure by FFF-Stokes**  
Keywords: permeability, numerical homogenization, fast Stokes solver  
(24 pages, 2007)
130. T. Melo, S. Nickel, F. Saldanha da Gama  
**Facility Location and Supply Chain Management – A comprehensive review**  
Keywords: facility location, supply chain management, network design  
(54 pages, 2007)
131. T. Hanne, T. Melo, S. Nickel  
**Bringing robustness to patient flow management through optimized patient transports in hospitals**  
Keywords: Dial-a-Ride problem, online problem, case study, tabu search, hospital logistics  
(23 pages, 2007)
132. R. Ewing, O. Iliev, R. Lazarov, I. Rybak, J. Willems  
**An efficient approach for upscaling properties of composite materials with high contrast of coefficients**  
Keywords: effective heat conductivity, permeability of fractured porous media, numerical upscaling, fibrous insulation materials, metal foams  
(16 pages, 2008)
133. S. Gelareh, S. Nickel  
**New approaches to hub location problems in public transport planning**  
Keywords: integer programming, hub location, transportation, decomposition, heuristic  
(25 pages, 2008)
134. G. Thömmes, J. Becker, M. Junk, A. K. Vainkuntam, D. Kehrwald, A. Klar, K. Steiner, A. Wiegmann  
**A Lattice Boltzmann Method for immiscible multiphase flow simulations using the Level Set Method**  
Keywords: Lattice Boltzmann method, Level Set method, free surface, multiphase flow  
(28 pages, 2008)
135. J. Orlik  
**Homogenization in elasto-plasticity**  
Keywords: multiscale structures, asymptotic homogenization, nonlinear energy  
(40 pages, 2008)

Status quo: February 2008